**SP Publications**
**International Journal Of English and Studies (IJOES)**
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal;**Impact Factor:**8.337(SJIF) **ISSN:** 2581-8333|**Volume 8, Issue-4(April);2026**

# The Architecture of Learner Independence: Contrasting Heutagogy and DogmeELT in contemporary Pedagogy.

**Nithya Sree T**
PSG College of Arts and Science,Coimbatore 641014, Tamil Nadu,India

**Article Received**: 23/03/2026
**Article Accepted:**28/04/2026
**Published Online:**29/04/2026
**DOI**:10.47311/IJOES.2026.8.04.654

**Abstract:**
This article delves into the convergence of two revolutionary educational frameworks Heutagogy andDogme ELT. Heutagogy prioritizes self-directed learning to learn whereas Dogme ELT which is an unscripted and resource minimal methodology that underscores the social and spontaneous emergent of language acquisition. Through a comparative lens , this paper highlights on their mutual strengths in fosteringin learner's autonomy and advocates a synthesized framework for contemporary teaching praxis.

**Keywords:**Heutagogy, Dogme ELT, Learner Agency, , Emergent Curriculum, Nexus-Based Learning,Double-Loop Learning.

## Introduction to the Paradigms:

The Apex of the Learner Autonomy is occupied by theHeutagogy which transcendsthe conventional pedagogical paradigms as a significant study of self determined learning. This shifts was on the teaching of pedagogical pattern and andragogy model. Learners assume unequivocal agency and orchestrating the entirety of their educational trajectory which is from curating content and modalities of inquiry to devising evaluative metrics. This hallmark lies in double-loop learning, wherein participants shun the superficial problem-solving to interrogate the latent assumptions and entrenched cognitive dispositions that underpin their reasoning skill which acts as a catalyst for profound metacognitive evolution and adaptive resilience.

This methodology pivots not merely toward knowledge acquisition or skill mastery, but also towards cultivating the acumen to critically appraise one's learning

architectures and epistemological models.By doing this, heutagogyengenders lifelong learners adept at traversing volatile, multifaceted landscapes through perpetual strategical recalibration. By privileging reflexive praxis and the deconstruction of knowledge formation, this naturally empowers individuals as autonomous, robust intellects, poised to flourish amid heterogeneous personal and vocational exigencies. Ultimately, self-determined learning transmutes education into a vibrant, participatory dialectic, instilling in learners the proprietorship of their development and the fortitude to embrace ambiguity and flux with equanimity.

**Dogme ELT: The Unplugged Classroom**

Originating in English Language Teaching (ELT), Dogme is a movement led by Scott Thornbury that advocates for teaching "unplugged" from textbooks and technology. It prioritizes the "here and now." In a Dogme classroom, the curriculum is not pre-planned; instead, it emerges from the conversation and immediate needs of the learners present in the room.

**The Implementation Framework: The Three Pillars**

The industrial model still dominates most of our schools and universities. This is more than just an outdated habit; it actively gets in the way of real change. Standardisation, passive absorption of information, and the idea that knowledge must come down from above these values shape the way we build classrooms and write curricula. And it's not working. The world keeps proving that this model doesn't prepare students for the tangled realities of professional or civic life. If we want to move beyond tweaking the old ways, we have to rethink what a classroom is for. It can't be a one-way street; it has to become a place where knowledge gets built together, through practice and participation.

**Rethinking the Classroom Space:**

Breaking Up the Rows Walk into a typical classroom and you'll find rows of desks, all facing the front, furniture that's hard to move, and a clear focal point for the teacher. None of this is neutral. There's an ideology built into the room itself. Everything says: knowledge comes from the front, students listen quietly, and dialogue or collaboration is a distraction. Lefebvre (1991) nailed it when he said that spaces don't just hold activity; they produce it. So if we're serious about changing how teaching and learning happen, we have to start by changing the spaces themselves. Turning the classic classroom into a flexible "Nexus Hub" gets right at this problem. In a Nexus Hub, you have distinct zones, each supporting different kinds of learning. There are "Unplugged Zones" for Dogme-style, conversation-based learning .Here, the focus is on genuine communication, not on grinding through pre-set materials. Then there are "Tech Labs" for

students to follow their own questions, carve their own paths, and build knowledge independently withheutagogic approach, as Hase and Kenyon (2000). Learning isn't always social, nor always individual. The space should support both, and recognize that our ways of learning shift all the time.

**Building Flexibility into the Curriculum:**

The Case for "Potholes" Reworking the space is one thing, but the curriculum needs just as much attention. One strategy: deliberately design "Curricular Potholes" with the intentional gaps in the schedule where live, real-world issues have room to enter. This borrows from Dogme ELT (Thornbury &Meddings, 2001), which values the messiness of learning from what's happening right now, and from students' immediate lives, over sticking religiously to static textbooks. Setting aside about a fifth of each semester for emergent content changes everything. Instead of trudging through sixteen weeks of pre-scripted material, students and teachers break from routine to grapple with unfolding events climate crises, new technologies, political unrest, you name it. Here, students have to use what they've learned to confront actual complexity. This is what real-world problem-solving feels like: unpredictable, unscripted, and demanding. Importantly, this isn't about ditching rigor or wandering off into randomness. The curriculum still has structure and coherence, but it remains open enough to allow in the unexpected. That's what builds adaptability and critical thinking , the very skills today's workplaces and societies need. In this light, a "pothole" in the curriculum isn't a defect. It's an intentional entry point to reality itself.

**Benefits to the Students:**

Anchoring the student benefits in robust educational theory gives each advantage deeper meaning. Drawing on Ryan and Deci's Self-Determination Theory, the model encourages psychological ownershipwhere students don't just pass through the process, they shape it, investing themselves and developing intrinsic motivation along the way. Sweller's Cognitive Load Theory comes into play by deliberately structuring exposures and resources to minimize unnecessary overload, freeing up cognitive space for meaningful engagement and mastery. Blaschke's work on heutagogy further supports the case: in a world defined by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity (VUCA), adaptability is no luxury where it is a survival skill. By giving students agency over their own learning pathways, this approach nurtures flexible, self-driven learners ready for whatever comes next.It builds on these foundations by elaborating each stage of the heutagogic project process. The Selection phase isn't random rather it is informed by Dewey's principle of experiential continuity which includes learning sticks when it genuinely connects with

students' lived experiences and past growth.

Contracting, in this model, turns passive syllabi into living agreements, echoing Knowles' advocacy for learning contracts that empower learners to co-design their educational journey. Then comes the role of the mentor, grounded in Vygotsky's concept of the Zone of Proximal Development. The mentor doesn't just answer questions rather they scaffold, nudge, and stretch the student beyond their comfort zone, unlocking potential that often goes unrealized in more traditional settings.

When it comes to findings, the interplay of "self vs. social" dimensions sits at the center.

This isn't a binary; it's a symbiotic relationship. As the report puts it: "Independent initiative and collaborative expertise don't cancel each other out rather they fuel each other, creating learners who are grounded and connected." To back these outcomes up, we turn to Dale's Cone of Experience, which demonstrates that active, participatory learning doesn't just stick better but it lasts. Retention soars. Students build not only technical knowledge but also the soft skills like adaptability, communication, teamwork.

**Conclusion: The Emergence of Nexus-Based Learning (NBL)**

Nexus-Based Learning is the point of convergence where the **individual initiative** of Heutagogy meets the **collaborative expertise** of Dogme. It solves the "isolation" problem often found in self-directed study by placing the autonomous learner back into a social, communicative "hub.The practical implications of this synthesis are profound. The "Nexus Hub" classroom acknowledges that learning is a rhythmic process, oscillating between the private labor of mastery and the public performance of communication. By adopting the role of a mentor within the Zone of Proximal Development, the educator transitions from a "sage on the stage" to an architect of opportunity.Ultimately, the findings suggest that the tension between "self" and "social" dimensions of learning is a false dichotomy. Independent initiative and collaborative expertise are mutually reinforcing. By weaving together the self-determined rigor of Heutagogy with the human-centric spontaneity of Dogme ELT, we create a contemporary pedagogy that is not just efficient, but humanizing. We move toward a future where students don't just prepare for the real world, but actively inhabit it.