

Psychological Conflict and the Spiritual Void in Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City*: A Comparative Study

1.Priti Gupta

Research Scholar, Department of English, Jai Minesh Adivasi University, Ranpur, Kota, Rajasthan, India

2.Dr. Gayatri Saini

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Jai Minesh Adivasi University, Ranpur, Kota, Rajasthan, India

Article Received: 09/09/2026

Article Accepted: 10/10/2026

Published Online: 11/10/2026

DOI: 10.47311/IJOES.2026.8.10.194

Abstract:

Anita Desai's early fiction marks a decisive turn in Indian English writing away from social realism toward the inner life of the individual. This paper offers a comparative reading of *Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City*, arguing that both novels stage psychological conflict as a collision between the need for an integrated, authentic self and the gendered expectations of a patriarchal social order. That collision produces what Desai's fiction figures as a "spiritual void": not simply an absence of faith, but the loss of the frameworks through which experience acquires meaning. In *Cry, the Peacock*, the void is internalised within the home, where Maya's fixation on a childhood prophecy becomes a bid to give significance to an emotionally barren marriage. In *Voices in the City*, the crisis is externalised onto the streets of Calcutta, where Nirode, Monisha and Amla confront a public, secular alienation with no comparable mythic refuge. The paper argues that Desai locates modern Indian selfhood in the gap between subjective desire and inherited social structure, and that her narrative techniques enact this gap in form itself.

Keywords: Anita Desai; Psychological Conflict; Alienation; Spiritual Void; Indian English Fiction

Introduction

Anita Desai holds a distinctive place in Indian English literature for turning away from the social realism that dominated the tradition before her toward a sustained investigation of the fractured psyche [1]. Rather than narrating external events, she privileges what she has called the "inner climate" of her characters, using interior monologue and fragmented narrative to register the alienation of modern domestic and urban life [1,2]. In her fiction, psychological conflict arises from the friction between a hypersensitive inner life and the rigid demands of a patriarchal environment, a friction that critics have read as

producing a distinctly spiritual emptiness [3]. This paper argues that *Cry, the Peacock* dramatises that emptiness through Maya's obsession with a fatalistic prophecy, while *Voices in the City* relocates the same crisis to an entire city, where alienation becomes a shared, public condition rather than a private derangement [3]. For Desai, the "spiritual void" is not merely the absence of religious belief; it names a state of ontological paralysis in which the collapse of inherited meaning-systems leaves her characters stranded in unrelenting self-consciousness [4,5].

Read together, the two novels suggest that the protagonists' inability to reconcile an intensified interiority with the constraints of contemporary Indian life makes their suffering closer to a form of resistance than a simple pathology [6,7]. Introspection functions as a mode of critique: Desai's characters use it to question the legitimacy of the world around them, so that fragmentation reads less as breakdown than as a response to the loss of a coherent identity. Much of this unease can be traced to unresolved contradictions in post-independence nationalist discourse, which left many of Desai's contemporaries caught in double-binds that made authentic selfhood difficult to sustain [8]. Within the broader currents of Indian modernism, this failure to assimilate mirrors a wider gap between personal desire and cultural mandate [9].

In *Voices in the City*, that failure is sharpened by the hostility of Calcutta itself, an urban environment that frustrates aspiration and forces its inhabitants into a condition of ongoing existential survival [10]. *Cry, the Peacock*, by contrast, keeps the conflict inside the home: Maya's fixation on a childhood prophecy becomes her way of assigning metaphysical weight to a hollow marriage [11]. Both novels thus locate the roots of modern malaise in the collision between a longing for ontological validation and the patriarchal frameworks that deny it [1]. This alienation is not simply a matter of migration or physical displacement [12]; it is an ontological fracture between modern consciousness and historical continuity [13]. It is also gendered: women in Desai's fiction occupy a liminal space in which the pursuit of autonomy is repeatedly compromised by traditional hierarchies, and domestic space becomes a site of spiritual confinement [14,15,16].

For the purposes of this study, *psychological conflict* denotes the sustained collision between subjective desire, emotional vulnerability and the social codes through which identity is regulated; *spiritual void* denotes the resulting loss of meaning, coherence and connection to any sustaining moral or metaphysical order. Desai's importance as a modernist lies in her relocation of narrative attention from observable social action to the unstable interiority of characters whose fragmented perceptions expose the inadequacy of inherited structures [1,17]. The comparison shows that the spiritual void emerges through two different environments, domestic confinement in one novel and metropolitan dislocation in the other, while reflecting the same unresolved struggle to build a meaningful subjectivity between modern consciousness and traditional authority. Rather than treating transcendence as an achieved resolution, Desai renders the void as a space in which her protagonists confront the

limits of autonomy and the painful necessity of inventing meaning without assurance that meaning exists.

Research Context

Desai bridges social realism and an introspective modernist tradition, shifting critical attention from collective historical experience to a singular, fractured consciousness [1]. By foregrounding inner psychological landscapes rather than external political narrative, she aligns her work with a broader postcolonial effort to dismantle monolithic accounts of Indian identity. Her subversion of the conventional domestic novel elevates individual trauma into a critique of post-independence disillusionment [18], and her exploration of the psyche serves as a bridge between ancestral cultural codes and the often alienating freedom of the modern subject [19]. Table 1 summarises the comparative framework through which the two novels are situated within modern Indian psychological fiction.

Table 1. Comparative Framework for Reading *Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City*

Analytical dimension	<i>Cry, the Peacock</i>	<i>Voices in the City</i>
Primary narrative focus	Maya's intensely subjective consciousness and psychological isolation within marriage [11]	Characters confronting urban disconnection, moral uncertainty and the absurdity of modern existence [4]
Form of psychological conflict	Maya's desire for intimacy collides with Gautama's rational detachment, producing escalating anxiety [11]	The struggle to preserve a coherent identity amid fractured relations and metropolitan pressure [4]
Mode of alienation	Domestic and marital estrangement, intensified by patriarchal expectation [20]	Urban and existential alienation from community, moral purpose and belonging [4]
Narrative technique	Stream of consciousness, symbolic imagery and interior reflection [21]	Fragmented perspectives and shifting consciousness [1]
Emergence of the spiritual void	Failed emotional reciprocity leaves Maya without a sustaining sense of meaning [11]	Erosion of social connection and ethical orientation in the postcolonial metropolis [4]
Postcolonial significance	Gendered domestic structures constrain autonomous female subjectivity [20]	Modernity represented as cultural fragmentation and existential displacement [4,22]

Taken together, these dimensions show Desai turning psychological interiority into a critical method for examining the fractured identities of postcolonial modernity. By treating absurdity as a culturally mediated experience rather than a purely nihilistic one, she joins philosophical inquiry to the specific weight of Indian historical and social memory [23]. The characters' persistent alienation is therefore not a personal failing but a structural

consequence of inhabiting liminal spaces in which traditional authority and modern aspiration remain irreconcilable [24].

Analytical Framework

The psychological conflict at the centre of these novels is understood here as a tension between a character's desire for an integrated, authentic self and the culturally codified roles imposed upon them. This tension manifests as a spiritual void, an existential disconnection that arises once internalised traditional values no longer offer a workable framework for interpreting modern life [25]. The void is defined by an erosion of ontological security: the protagonist becomes a stranger within her own environment, echoing the displacement documented among postcolonial subjects more broadly [26,27]. Desai's protagonists experience fragmentation not as totalising nihilism but as a response to the failure of social structures to validate their subjective reality [28]. In *Cry, the Peacock*, this conflict is worked out through the body and domestic space, where the failure of marital intimacy forces Maya into a claustrophobic confrontation with her divided desires [29]. In *Voices in the City*, the void extends into a pervasive urban malaise that strips the protagonists of communal grounding, and their search for meaning is grounded in broken relationships and marital disharmony [30,31].

Desai's psychological method makes this conflict legible by privileging interior monologue, fragmented perception, memory and symbolic imagery over event-driven narration, so that consciousness itself becomes the primary site of narrative action [1,21]. The spiritual void, then, emerges not simply from private suffering but from the collapse of interpretive frameworks capable of connecting individual desire to social belonging, which makes psychological conflict in Desai's fiction a symptom of a wider cultural transition between inherited values and modern selfhood [4,24].

Psychological Conflict

At the core of this conflict lies a persistent duality: protagonists caught between a growing desire for autonomy and the ingrained expectations of a patriarchal order [17]. Intensified by the silencing demands of familial duty, this dissonance compels a retreat into the subconscious, where repressed desire and existential anxiety converge [1]. Within this interior space, Desai relies on recurring motifs, the lethal dance of the peacock and the haunting iconography of the city, to externalise inner rupture and map her protagonists' descent from social integration into isolation [32]. The descent is compounded by the erosion of traditional moral anchors, which forces characters to face their own impulses without institutional support [17], and it mirrors broader generational shifts in personal identity [33]. Home and city alike become psychological landscapes in which suppressed yearning collides with rigid social structure [34], and interiority becomes a volatile repository for the trauma of cultural displacement and the pressure of domestic expectation [35].

Inner Fragmentation

Desai constructs interiority as a terrain divided by conflicting impulses, in which the self can no longer sustain a coherent identity under oppressive cultural mandates [36]. This division produces an emotional instability in which the pressure to conform becomes the

catalyst for the disintegration of the protagonist's autonomy [37]. The gap between personal aspiration and prescribed role becomes the primary site of trauma, forcing a retreat into defensive, self-alienating patterns of thought [38]. Characters such as Monisha and Maya consequently find their perceptions warped, their experience shading into hallucination and obsessive rumination that mark a departure from shared reality [37]. Although this inward turn is intended to preserve selfhood, it deepens their marginalisation, as their inner lives grow increasingly untethered from collective reality [30]. The trajectory recalls the Lacanian notion of "lack," a deferred pursuit of wholeness that marks the characters' inability to find emotional stability in either domestic or urban space [9]. The resulting void reads as an indictment of social structures that privilege order over the recognition of individual subjectivity [17].

Social Alienation

The urban expanse acts as a catalyst for alienation, its indifference mirroring the inner vacancy of protagonists trapped in the anonymity of modern existence; the same fragmentation recurs at home, where failed communication strands characters in estrangement despite physical proximity [15]. When cultural expectation and personal desire stand in irreconcilable opposition, authentic bonds cannot form [29]. The marital home becomes a site of seclusion rather than companionship, its silences rendering the partner an inaccessible, alien presence [39]. Desai's protagonists repeatedly fail to bridge the gap between complex inner lives and the restricted roles imposed on them, and this failure precipitates a collapse into despair [11]. Compounded by the traditionalism of their surroundings, the home becomes a site of psychological imprisonment rather than sustenance [40], consigning these characters to an enduring sense of existential exile [41].

Conflict in Cry, the Peacock

In *Cry, the Peacock*, Maya's psychological disintegration is tied to her obsession with an albino astrologer's prophecy that, four years into her marriage, either she or her husband will die [42]. The fixation turns the natural cycle of life and death into a source of terror, so that her domestic life with Gautama feels increasingly surreal, and his rational indifference reads to her as a cruel rejection of her vulnerability [43]. Internalising the peacock's cry as a mirror of her own agony, Maya takes the union of love and death as a truth that her husband's empirical worldview refuses to acknowledge [44]. The incompatibility between her visceral emotional reality and Gautama's detachment deepens her isolation [3], and their marriage becomes an emblem of disintegrated vision. The recurrent imagery of dust storms, oppressive heat and a withering garden serves as an external correlative for Maya's inner decay and for the impossibility of solace in a household governed by sterile rationalism. The fear of non-being that structures the novel is thus the consequence of a marital bond marked by a deep crisis of trust and emotional neglect [45].

Maya's Anxiety

Maya's pervasive anxiety can be read as a defensive expression of insecure attachment, revealing how early emotional vulnerabilities persist, uncontained, into adult relational life [46]. Emotional neglect forces her to internalise interpersonal instability, and the absence of her husband's validation deepens her sense of groundlessness [47,48]. In this

state of hyper-vigilance her environment ceases to function as a secure space [49] and becomes a repository of dread in which every sensory detail reinforces her instability. This mirrors the way a confrontation with mortality, which Yalom describes as the shift from concept to lived process, can overwhelm the psyche when unsupported by relational intimacy [50]. Maya's preoccupation with death signals a failure to discover what Frankl calls the "will to meaning," leaving her trapped in a cycle of despair rather than a purpose-driven existence [51].

Marital Disjunction

The marriage between Maya and Gautama functions as a restrictive structure in which the husband's clinical detachment invalidates his wife's intuitive search for transcendence. Gautama's reliance on logic serves as a buffer against his own vulnerability, reducing their union to a sterile arrangement that leaves Maya's emotional depth unreciprocated [29,39]. By confining their exchanges to an intellectual register, he deepens her loneliness and denies her the recognition that might ease her isolation [52]. The marital home turns from refuge into estrangement, and the spouse becomes an inaccessible "Other" whose failure to acknowledge his partner's crisis culminates in the novel's violent ending, when Maya pushes Gautama from the roof [11,53].

The Void in Voices in the City

In *Voices in the City*, Calcutta functions as a crucible of spiritual emptiness, mirroring the fractured identities of the siblings Nirode, Monisha and Amla [54].

Urban Displacement

The city emerges not as a landscape of opportunity but as a site of dislocation, its unsettled political and social climate mirroring the characters' lack of purpose [54]. Relentless sensory overload combined with visible decay forces its inhabitants into an existential uncertainty that makes any search for historical or spiritual continuity feel futile [10]. The city operates as a "condensed existence," forcing characters to choose between authentic self-realisation and the paralysis of social fragmentation [55]. Nirode's restless movement through Calcutta reflects his failure to convert intellectual rebellion into a stable ethical or spiritual direction, while Monisha's confinement within her husband's joint family shows how physical proximity can intensify rather than relieve isolation [54]. Amla's search for identity produces no lasting resolution either, since the city's sensory excess continually exposes the hollowness of her desire for renewal [10]. Across these trajectories, Desai presents Calcutta as a fractured psychic landscape in which political unrest, domestic constraint and moral uncertainty erode the possibility of belonging [55].

Spiritual Estrangement

The characters' gradual withdrawal from religious observance and familial obligation renders their existential void near total [4]. Their silence functions as a defence, a final boundary against the chaotic demands of a society that offers no relief from mounting nihilism [30]. This renunciation exposes the absence of a viable moral anchor, suggesting that their detachment is less a meditative choice than a reaction to systemic disintegration [54]. Monisha's embrace of silence becomes the ultimate articulation of this disillusionment, turning her enforced isolation within the patriarchal home into a final act of withdrawal that

ends in her suicide [30]. Nirode's intellectual nihilism performs a parallel rejection of social aspiration, revealing how the absence of an anchoring spiritual framework leaves the individual vulnerable to paralysis [4]. The erosion of meaning recalls the "spiritual famine" of the waste-land tradition [56]: the city offers no substitute for the transcendental structures its inhabitants have discarded, and the breakdown of domestic intimacy exposes the failure of human connection to serve as a secular surrogate for divine presence [30].

Comparative Analysis

Where Maya's domestic confinement channels her distress into an obsession with prophecy and mortality, the urban sprawl of *Voices in the City* externalises a comparable fragmentation into a public, though equally isolating, indifference [1]. Maya's fixation represents a retreat into myth and irrationality as a way of coping with her marital vacuum, whereas the siblings in Calcutta confront the city's secular entropy by stripping away belief altogether. Maya's tragedy is rooted in the weight of domestic ritual and a preordained destiny, while the characters of *Voices in the City* face the more disorienting liberty of a void that offers no structure for their suffering [1]. Whether ensnared by household superstition or metropolitan instability, Desai's protagonists are united by an ontological homelessness that forecloses reconciliation with their environments [4], and the female experience of displacement persists across very different socio-cultural terrains [5]. Table 2 sets out the comparative dimensions of this crisis.

Table 2. Comparative Dimensions of Psychological and Spiritual Crisis

Dimension	<i>Cry, the Peacock</i>	<i>Voices in the City</i>
Primary setting	The enclosed home intensifies Maya's dependence, marital frustration and fixation on prophecy	Crowded yet impersonal Calcutta disperses distress across social, political and familial space
Dominant conflict	Desire for intimacy against Gautama's detachment; the prophecy turns anxiety into fatalistic obsession [11,53]	Nirode, Monisha and Amla face identity crises, dislocation and the absence of stable purpose [54]
Form of alienation	Marital isolation within a patriarchal domesticity that ignores Maya's emotional needs	Collective and urban: estrangement from family, community, cultural memory and the city [4]
Narrative interiority	Subjective narration turns external events into fear, desire and impending death	Fragmented perspectives and interior monologue present dispersed psychic states [1,57]
Spiritual crisis	Retreat into myth and prophecy collapses into psychological disintegration	Inherited beliefs no longer guide; the metropolis offers no alternative: a secular void [10,54]

Dimension	<i>Cry, the Peacock</i>	<i>Voices in the City</i>
Outcome	Collapse of relational and symbolic structures ends in violence [53]	Siblings suspended between survival and despair; Monisha's suicide marks the extreme [30]

Narrative Interiorities

In *Cry, the Peacock*, Desai's use of stream of consciousness binds the reader to Maya's volatile subjectivity, fracturing domestic ritual with recurring dread [20]. The technique mirrors Maya's own difficulty in distinguishing objective reality from the projections of her psyche. *Voices in the City*, by contrast, employs a fragmented polyphony, distributing narrative focus across several subjectivities to register a collective inability to synthesise the chaotic stimuli of the metropolis into a stable identity [58]. The absence of a unified narrator echoes the characters' own fractured selfhood [17]. This structural shift marks a movement from the claustrophobic haunting of the domestic novel to the systemic malaise of the postcolonial city, from gendered imprisonment in the private sphere to a vulnerability defined by social isolation [1].

This reliance on interiorised narration is consistent with the idea that trauma tends to surface in fragmented, delayed forms rather than as coherent memory. Maya's consciousness circles around prophecy, childhood impression and marital neglect, so that the past returns as an intrusive emotional presence. *Voices in the City* extends this method from individual disturbance to collective disorientation, its discontinuous narration dismantling the idea of a unified self [17,21]. Neither form offers a stable interpretive authority; the breakdown of narrative continuity formally enacts the characters' inability to secure purpose, consolation or belonging. Desai's movement from a concentrated consciousness to a dispersed urban polyphony is therefore an expansion of psychological fiction rather than a departure from it [1].

Symbolic Landscapes

In *Cry, the Peacock*, the house is an extension of Maya's fractured psyche, its oppressive heat and decaying garden reflecting an inner entrapment that turns the home into a site of ritualised imprisonment. In *Voices in the City*, the urban environment performs a comparable function on a larger scale, its vast, impersonal surfaces reinforcing the characters' sense of abandonment [10]. Desai treats the city not merely as backdrop but as an active agent in the erosion of ontological security [54]. The contrast, however, is not absolute: the household isolates through excessive proximity, the metropolis through anonymity and dispersal. In both novels, the failure of familial, social and ideological structures to provide belonging produces a spiritual vacuum in which sensory intensity substitutes for faith and restless movement replaces purposeful action [17]. The void is thus neither purely psychological nor exclusively environmental; it emerges through the interaction between a damaged consciousness and spaces incapable of offering emotional or existential refuge.

Conclusion

Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City* present psychological conflict as the consequence of a profound spiritual and social dislocation [1,17]. Maya's crisis emerges from the suffocating isolation of marriage and domestic life, while the characters of *Voices in the City* experience alienation within the wider instability of urban existence [10,11]. Although their circumstances differ, each confronts the same underlying problem: an inability to connect the self to a coherent moral, familial or communal order. Their disturbances therefore register not private weakness but a spiritual void produced by fractured relationships and disintegrating social structures.

Through contrasting landscapes, Desai exposes the limits of institutions that are supposed to offer protection and belonging: marriage, family, community and the modern city all become sources of estrangement when they fail to acknowledge the emotional and spiritual needs of the individual [17]. Her fragmented narratives suggest that consciousness is shaped by memory, environment and social pressure, and by the persistent failure of language to communicate suffering. At the same time, Desai does not reduce her characters to victims of circumstance; their anguish exposes the structures that constrain them and raises difficult questions about identity, autonomy and the possibility of transcendence. Neither novel offers an easy resolution.

The continuing significance of these novels lies in their union of formal experimentation and psychological insight. Desai's emphasis on the "inner climate" of her characters [2] anticipates later Indian English fiction that foregrounds subjective experience, fractured identity, gendered oppression and urban alienation. *Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City* endure not only as studies of troubled individuals but as searching examinations of a world in which the need for meaning, intimacy and spiritual refuge remains urgent yet unfulfilled.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the Department of English, Jai Minesh Adivasi University, Kota, for academic support during the preparation of this paper.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Works Cited

Anand, M., and U. Prasad. "The Feminine Anguish in *Cry, the Peacock*." [Journal Title Not Provided], vol. 1, 2010, pp. 53–59.

- Banerjee, A. "The Fractured Psyche and Patriarchal Constraints in Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock*." *ShodhKosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, vol. 4, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.5244>.
- Bhuvaneswari, R. "Absurdity and Existential Crisis in Modern Indian Fiction: Reimagining the Absurd in a Postcolonial Context." *Knowledge Commons*, Lakehead University, 2026. <https://doi.org/10.17613/ycbzd-99019>.
- Bretton, O. M. "Ontological Insecurity in the Post-COVID-19 Fallout: Using Existentialism as a Method to Develop a Psychosocial Understanding to a Mental Health Crisis." *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, vol. 26, 2023, pp. 425–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-023-10157-9>.
- Bruce, A., et al. "Longing for Ground in a Ground(less) World: A Qualitative Inquiry of Existential Suffering." *BMC Nursing*, vol. 10, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6955-10-2>.
- Challa, R., and S. Alahari. "Anxiety and Anguish: Navigating Psychological Corridors from Childhood to Adulthood." *IntechOpen*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1003788>.
- Chavan, P. D. "The Theme of Protest and Freedom in *Cry, the Peacock*." *The Creative Launcher*, vol. 6, 2021, pp. 50–54. <https://doi.org/10.53032/tcl.2021.6.4.08>.
- Deswal, J. "Desai's Theory of Existentialism and Alienation in the Novel 'Voices in the City.'" *International Journal of Global Innovations and Modern Research*, vol. 1, 2026, pp. 66–102. <https://doi.org/10.66304/ijgimr.2026.v1i1.19>.
- Dimitrov, H. "Echoes of the Grail: The Role of the Fisher King Myth in Eliot's 'The Waste Land.'" *DergiPark*, Istanbul University, 2025.
- Ettema, E. J., L. D. Derksen, and E. van Leeuwen. "Existential Loneliness and End-of-Life Care: A Systematic Review." *Metamedicine*, vol. 31, 2010, pp. 141–69. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11017-010-9141-1>.
- Fernandes, S. S., and B. Ramachandran. "New Trends in Contemporary Literature in the Select Works of Anita Desai." *Zenodo*, 2026. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18787074>.
- Gómez, C. "La Ansiedad Abordada a través del Psicoanálisis Relacional." *Revista Científica de Salud y Desarrollo Humano*, vol. 4, 2022, pp. 15–39. <https://doi.org/10.61368/r.s.d.h.v4i2.24>.
- Gupta, D., and L. S. Bedi. "Orientalistic Approach to Anita Desai's Novels: A Critical Study." *Research Review International Journal of Multidisciplinary*, vol. 10, 2025, pp. 315–17. <https://doi.org/10.31305/rrijm.2025.v10.n6.035>.
- Gündüz, E. İ. "Clear Light of the Day: Fragmented Postcolonial Lives." *DergiPark*, Istanbul University, vol. 6, 2017, pp. 175–80.
- Ilmi, D., M. Surur, and K. Roziki. "The Existential Crisis in the Novel *Lho*: An Existential Psychological Analysis Based on Rollo May's Perspective." *Jurnal Sastra Indonesia*, vol. 14, 2026, pp. 256–68. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jsi.v14i3.24546>.
- Jain, B. "Signifying Corporeal-Trauma in Anita Desai's Fictions." *South Asian Review*, vol. 35, 2014, pp. 13–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02759527.2014.11932968>.

- Jindal, M. "Studying the Quest for Survival in the Protagonist Nirode in Anita Desai's *Voices in the City*." 2016.
- Jhansi, M., and M. Sureshkumar. "Conjugal Strife in Anita Desai's 'Cry, the Peacock.'" *SMART MOVES JOURNAL IJELLH*, vol. 7, 2019, p. 9. <https://doi.org/10.24113/ijellh.v7i11.10094>.
- Kamble, P. B. "A Psychological Novelist: Anita Desai." *Zenodo*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8344707>.
- Kalyankumar, M., and A. Srialahasti. "The Theme of Alienation in the Select Novels of Anita Desai." 2015. <https://doi.org/10.16962/elkapi/si.nctll-2015.13>.
- Khan, I. A. "Initiative in the Novel *Voices in the City* of Anita Desai: Freedom in Life." *English Language and Literature Studies*, vol. 5, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ells.v5n1p42>.
- Kishore, N. "Revisiting Anita Desai's Fiction: Tracing Generational Relevance towards a Third Culture/Third Space Spectrum." *Creative Saplings*, vol. 1, 2022, pp. 25–36. <https://doi.org/10.56062/gtrs.2022.1.9.185>.
- Kivne, S. T. "Husband-Wife Relationship in Anita Desai's Novel *Cry the Peacock*." *Zenodo*, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18217964>.
- Kumari, S. D. "The Myriad Concerns in the Writings of Post-Independence Indian Women Novelists." *International Journal of Humanities and Arts*, vol. 6, 2024, pp. 114–17. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26647699.2024.v6.i1b.134>.
- Kumar, B. M. "Exploring Feminine Identity: A Comparative Analysis of Female Protagonists in Anita Desai's *Clear Light of Day* and Arundhati Roy's *The God of Small Things*." *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, vol. 12, 2024, pp. 1670–76. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.63373>.
- Latent, [Author Details Not Provided]. [No matching reference supplied].
- Mahida, C. A. "A Critical Analysis of Anita Desai's *Where Shall We Go This Summer*." 2014.
- Maharaja, D. D. R., and G. R. Sharma. "Mental Health and Trauma in *Cry, the Peacock* and Contemporary Indian Women's Writing." *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, vol. 10, 2025, pp. 247–54. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.103.40>.
- Malik, S., and M. A. Jajja. "Neurotic Feminine Aspirations in the Characters of Maya, Bimla, Nanda and Monisha with Reference to Anita Desai's Fiction." *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, vol. 11, 2023, pp. 2280–87. <https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2023.1102.0520>.
- Malini, K. S. "Extraordinary Energy of Visualization in Depicting the Psychological Depth of Women Characters in Anita Desai's Novels—*Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City*." *HuSS International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences*, vol. 2, 2015, p. 10. <https://doi.org/10.15613/hijrh/2015/v2i1/78204>.

- Monica, M. "Literary Style and Narrative Framework in Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock* and *Voices in the City*." *ShodhKosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, vol. 4, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i1.2023.3224>.
- Neupane, K. "Reason versus Emotion in Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock*." *Cognition*, vol. 2, 2019, pp. 60–65. <https://doi.org/10.3126/cognition.v2i1.55567>.
- Patro, A. K. "Dynamics of Victimhood and Rebellion: A Comparative Study of Socio-Cultural Constructs in the Works of Anita Desai and Mulk Raj Anand." *Anusandhanvallari*, 2025, pp. 204–7. <https://doi.org/10.52783/anuval.530>.
- Patro, A. K., S. K. Swain, and R. K. Pradhan. "Rebellion as Resistance: Exploring the Intersection of Individual Identity and Social Hierarchy in Anita Desai's and Mulk Raj Anand's Narratives." *Mindscape: A Journal of English & Cultural Studies*, vol. 3, 2024, pp. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3126/mjecs.v3i1.89913>.
- Perumal, A., and S. Vimala. "The Emotional Estrangement in the Select Work of Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock*." *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, vol. 8, 2021.
- Pinky, P. "Analysis of Psychological and Verbal Pattern of Female Protagonists in Anita Desai's Fiction." *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 2019, pp. 306–10. <https://doi.org/10.32628/ijrst196254>.
- Pinky, P. "Conflict between Individual and Society: A Brief Review of Victims and Survivors in Anita Desai's Fiction." *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 2019, pp. 738–43. <https://doi.org/10.32628/ijrst1962148>.
- Preetha, R., and S. Selvarajan. "Self and Society in Anita Desai's Novels." *World Journal of English Language*, vol. 13, 2023, p. 140. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wjel.v13n7p140>.
- Priy, P. "Reconciliation, Memory, and Feminine Agency: Family Trauma and Healing in Anita Desai's *Clear Light of Day*." *Zenodo*, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17679437>.
- Rajput, R. S. S. "Translated Men and Women: Cultural and Social Negotiation in Postcolonial Literature in English." *Zenodo*, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18070517>.
- Rege, J. "Codes in Conflict: Post-Independence Alienation in Anita Desai's Early Novels." *Journal of Gender Studies*, vol. 5, 1996, pp. 317–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09589236.1996.9960653>.
- Saha, A. "Cultural Stereotypes, Female Identity and Postcolonial India: Re-reading Anita Desai's *Voices in the City*." *Journal of South Asian Exchanges*, vol. 1, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.21659/jsae/v1n2/v1n203>.
- Saikia, R. R. "Individual Identity and Quest for Survival: An Exploration of the Inner Psyche of the Existential Hero in Anita Desai's *Voices in the City*." *The Creative Launcher*, vol. 4, 2019, pp. 62–67. <https://doi.org/10.53032/tcl.2019.4.5.10>.
- Salve, S. M. "Figures of Speech, Imagery and Symbolism in Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock* and *Clear Light of Day*." 2019. <https://doi.org/10.53555/jcr.v6i1.544>.

-
- Shafqat, Z., and S. M. A. Rizvi. "Hybridity and Identity Crisis in Postcolonial South Asian Fiction." *Critique Open Research & Review*, vol. 4, 2026, pp. 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.55640/corr-v04i02-02>.
- Shweta, et al. "Psychological Portrayal of Women Characters in the Novels of Anita Desai." *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, vol. 12, 2021, pp. 4341–45.
- Singh, A. "Echoes of Partition in *Clear Light of Day*: Memory, Trauma, and Conflict." *Zenodo*, 2026. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19107434>.
- Singh, R. "Cultural Duality and the Search for Authentic Identity: Analyzing Billy Biswas' Inner Conflict in Arun Joshi's *The Strange Case of Billy Biswas*." *ShodhKosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, vol. 5, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i1.2024.4754>.
- Singh, R., and S. Mahmood. "Fragmented Selves and Gendered Diasporas: Feminine Voices in the Fiction of Kiran Desai." *Zenodo*, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18218142>.
- Singh, S. "Cultural Identity, Memory, and Gender in the Select Novels of Anita Desai." *International Journal of Science and Research*, 2026, pp. 1067–72. <https://doi.org/10.21275/SR26216112248>.
- Sneha, C., and R. U. Priya. "Trauma and Transcendence: A Study of Sita's Emotional Resistance to Modern Life in Anita Desai's *Where Shall We Go This Summer?*" *Shanlax International Journal of English*, vol. 13, 2025, pp. 73–78. <https://doi.org/10.34293/english.v13i4.9022>.
- Sudhashini, L. "Exploring the Depths of Isolation and Psychological Turmoil in Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock*." *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, vol. 12, 2025, pp. 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v12is3-jan.8805>.
- Tamanna. "Maya's Materialistic Longings Resulting in Alienation and Frustration: A Feminist Reading of Anita Desai's *Cry, the Peacock*." *The Creative Launcher*, vol. 6, 2021, pp. 152–58. <https://doi.org/10.53032/tcl.2021.6.1.17>.
- Tanksale, R. D. "Experiences of Displacement and the Search for Belonging in Anita Desai's Diasporic Fiction." *Zenodo*, 2026. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18951842>.
- Yadav, R. K. "Negotiating Self and Society: Gender, Culture, and Colonial Legacy in Selected Novels of Anita Desai." *International Journal of Research in English*, vol. 8, 2026, pp. 81–84. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26648717.2026.v8.i2a.635>.
- Zafirides, P., et al. "Psychotherapy and the Restoration of Meaning: Existential Philosophy in Clinical Practice." *PhilPapers*, PhilPapers Foundation, 2012, pp. 465–77. <https://doi.org/10.1037/14040-023>.
- Zubair, H. B., N. Shaber, and I. Khadam. "Analyzing Cultural Ambivalent Identities and Transitional Phases under the Influence of Imperialism Presented in Anita Desai's *Voices in the City*." *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, vol. 8, 2020, pp. 99–106. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2020.8611>.
-