_____

The Narrative Artistry of Fantasy Fictions: Comparing J.K. Rowling's *Harry Potter* and J.R.R. Tolkien's *The Lord of the Rings*

_____

Mr. Omkar Prasad Tripathy[1]
M.A. English, Rajendra University, Balangir, Odisha
Smt. Sangeeta Munda[2]
Assistant Professor, Department of English, Rajendra University, Balangir, Odisha

_____

Abstract:

This study provides a comparative analysis about the narrative craft of fantasy fiction through the comparative study of J.R.R. Tolkien's *The Lord of the Rings* and J.K. Rowling's *Harry Potter* series. Both authors share tropes of fantasy fiction (magic, journeys, archetypes, conflict between good and evil) but their respective approaches emerge out of different historical and cultural backgrounds, and present different narrative possibilities. Tolkien, in the mid-twentieth century, constructed Middle-earth as philologically based *mythopoeic* secondary world out of medieval history and epic genres. His characters are archetypal figures whose conflicts expose the theme of fate, sacrifice, and the corrupting force of evil. Rowling, on the other hand, situates her world of wizards within modern-day Britain and uses its institutions and magical devices as analogies to contemporary issues such as prejudice, bureaucratic institutions, and adolescence. Her characters are psychologically complex and often provide readers with negotiations around identity and belonging, as well as moral ambiguity. This study examines three aspects (*the world-building, the characterization, and the narrative device*) to illustrate how both authors provide different perspectives and possibilities for how they redefined fantasy.

Keywords: World-Building, Characterization, Narrative Techniques, Fantasy Literature, Comparative Analysis

Introduction:

Fantasy literature is often portrayed as a form of escapist entertainment, but it has consistently demonstrated the power to shape cultural imagination, reflect moral dilemmas, and provide metaphors for human experience. Fantasy literature, grounded in myths, legends and folk stories, offers readers immersive worlds that are able to transcend from reality while also reflecting its judgements, fears and anxieties. J.R.R. Tolkien, with his *The Lord of the Rings trilogy* (1954–55) can be credited with establishing the template for high fantasy, while

_____

J.K. Rowling, as a result of her *Harry Potter* series (1997–2007) achieved unbelievable success, forever changing children's and young adult fiction at universal level.

The works of both the authors share the same generic conventions of *magic, quests, archetypes and moral dilemmas* but each have their own narrative artistry, meaning and approach. Tolkien develops a mythic epic based in philology and medievalist traditions; while Rowling presents a hidden magical society co-existing against a backdrop of contemporary Britain. Both Tolkien and Rowling demonstrate the dual nature of fantasy; to simultaneously maintain a mythic grandeur along with interacting with modern social realities. Where Tolkien gave the twentieth century a mythology for England, Rowling gave the twenty-first century a tale of belonging, identity, and resistance to the forces of authoritarianismThis paper compares the aspects of the two authors' work through three main areas: *world building, characterisation*, while focusing specifically on *narrative technique*. Each of the author reflects their own artistry, but also larger cultural and historical circumstances.

Literature Review:

Academic debates on the role of fantasy literature as both an escapist forum and a cultural critique have continued for decades. Rosemary Jackson (*Fantasy: The Literature of Subversion*), argues that the particular genre of fantasy features a narrative structure that destabilizes the borders of the real, while Brian Attebery (*Strategies of Fantasy*), gestures to fantasy's ability to negotiate a cultural mythology. Tom Shippey and Verlyn Flieger both acknowledge Tolkien's philological and mythopoeic accomplishments by providing instructive discussion of the *"wonder-ful"* narrative potential of *The Lord of the Rings* as the basis for late modern high fantasy. Critics such as Maria Nikolajeva and John Granger view Rowling's story as a mixture of school-story conventions, allegorical themes, and psychological realism, positioning *Harry Potter* within the late twentieth-century phenomenon of identity politics and globalized culture. This study enters into this critical exchange by placing Tolkien and Rowling side by side, and demonstrating how their distinctness in world-building, characterization, and dramatic technique offer continuities and innovations to the genre of fantasy.

Research Gap:

Although J.R.R. Tolkien and J.K. Rowling have seen a great deal of critical and scholarly investment, most of the scholarship considers their works independently and in different traditions of fantasy literature. Tolkien is mainly interpreted through philology, mythology, and high fantasy world-building (Shippey; Flieger), while Rowling's Harry Potter series tends to be addressed within children's literature, popular culture, and social allegory (Nikolajeva; Granger). As comparative studies examining their narrative artistry, particularly their world-building, characterization, and narrative strategies, are rather limited. This study aims to fill this gap through providing a sustained comparative study explaining their distinguishing features of style and scope as well as their common re-imagining of the boundaries of fantasy literature.

_____

Historical Context of Fantasy: The origins of the fantasy as a genre can be traced through a long timespan in the history of storytelling. From old myths - whether the Greek Olympians, Norse gods, or Hindu cosmologies - there are the archetypes of hero's journeys, divine intervention, and supernatural beings. *Brian Attebery* notes, "fantasy is not a genre invented in the nineteenth or twentieth century but an ongoing mode of narrative that has always mediated between the real and the marvelous" (Attebery 17). Popular romances like *Sir Gawain and the Green Knight* or the Arthurian cycle integrated Christian morality and pagan enchantment in the Middle Ages, to create stories of knights, quests, and magical objects. Fairy tales were formalized in the Renaissance and Enlightenment by authors including Giambattista Basile and Charles Perrault, and made moral implications in magical stories. The Victorian era, fantasy bifurcated into Gothic horror (e.g., Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein*, Bram Stoker's *Dracula*) and children's fantasy (e.g., Lewis Carroll's *Alice's Adventures in Wonderland*, George MacDonald's *Phantastes*), both of which explored the boundaries of the possible and impossible (Jackson 63).

The twentieth century marked the golden age of modern fantasy. Tolkien's The Hobbit (1937) and The Lord of the Rings established high fantasy as a fully-realized secondary world complete with its own languages, myths and histories. At the end of the century, Rowling's Harry Potter redefined fantasy by intertwining a school-story premise with magical adventure, welcoming readers the world over into the realm of wizardry.

World Building:

Tolkien's Middle-earth represents the most ambitious act of literary sub-creation in the twentieth century. His creation encompasses detailed geographies, cultures, and mythologies across millennia, documented in appendices and companion texts such as *The Silmarillion*. As a philologist, Tolkien gave his world authenticity by inventing languages - *Quenya, Sindarin, Khuzdul* - complete with their own grammar and etymology. *Quenya* and *Sindarin* convey the Elves' elegance and antiquity; *Khuzdul* emphasizes the secrecy and hardness of the Dwarves; and the Black Speech embodies Sauron's malice (Tolkien, The Lord of the Rings App. F). His landscapes, from the pastoral Shire to the volcanic wasteland of Mordor, embody symbolic contrasts between innocence and corruption. Middle-earth thus operates as a secondary world, entirely self-contained, what Tolkien calls an example of "sub-creation" / "secondary-belief" (*On Fairy-Stories* 52).

In contrast, Rowling's Wizarding World overlays the familiar with the fantastic. Her Britain comprises magical schools (like Hogwarts), a Ministry of Magic, and Diagon Alley (*a street to buy magical items and artifacts*), all of which operate in plain sight of Muggles (common people), but are hidden with strong enchantments and spells. Readers are invited to see the narrative exchange between two worlds; the cross-connection of the common with the magical. Rowling's imaginative inventions of educational features - like moving staircases, Bertie Bott's Every Flavour Beans, and Time-Turners demonstrate both imagination and an allegorical depth. Magical items like the Invisibility Cloak and the Marauder's Map, as well as whimsical creatures like Hippogriffs and Thestrals, suggest accessibility and playfulness; at the same time Rowling's world also serves as social allegory:

_____

the distinctions between pure-bloods and half-bloods and Muggle-borns parallel real-world racism and classism (Granger 88). Unlike Tolkien's mythic timelessness, Rowling's world directly critiques contemporary politics, bureaucracy, and prejudice.

Tolkien's world-building aspires to mythological grandeur, while Rowling's re-enchants the ordinary, making fantasy a tool for cultural critique.

Characterization:

Tolkien's characters represent archetypal figures within a mythic framework. Frodo Baggins is the involuntary hero whose endurance and sympathy rather than strength establishes him as the rightful bearer of the Ring. His quest enacts the theme of sacrifice, with the burden of the Ring leaving him permanently wounded. Samwise Gamgee represents loyalty and humility as the "ordinary hero" rooted in devotion and love. Aragorn fulfils the archetypal roles of the exiled king as an emblem of restoration and renewal. Gandalf embodies the figure of the wizard-guide, whose resurrection after defeating the Balrog evokes mythic patterns of death-and-resurrection.

The Character of Gollum, is particularly notable, whose split self (the "Sméagol" side and the "Gollum" side) acts out the corrupting power of the Ring. Gollum serves as Frodo's "shadow," representing who Frodo could become once the Ring has finished corrupting him (Shippey 119). The characters in Rowling's books are more psychologically credible; however, Tolkien's characters carry more symbolic weight, representing archetypal patterns of good and evil, of temptation and redemption.

Rowling on the other hand excels in coming-of-age arcs, wherein she emphasizes psychological realism and moral growth. Harry Potter, "*the boy who lived*" is an orphan whose parents were ruthlessly killed by evil forces shortly after his birth; discovers his heritage, responsibilities and identity, over a period of time, embodying the bildungsroman tradition. His friendships with Hermione Granger and Ron Weasley dramatize loyalty, bravery, and sacrifice. Hermione's intelligence and activism (specifically her work for house-elf rights) foreground issues of gender and justice. Ron's insecurities and bravery highlight class and belonging. His camaraderie with Hermione Granger and Ron Weasley highlights the themes of loyalty, bravery, trust, love, respect and sacrifice. Hermione's intellect and activism (particularly for house-elf rights) foreground issues of gender and justice, while Ron's insecurities and bravery highlight themes of class and belonging.

Rowling's supporting characters contribute depth to this exploration of character psychology. Severus Snape embodies moral ambiguity; he appears to be an adversary, but is ultimately motivated by love and loyalty. Albus Dumbledore, the typical wise mentor is revealed to have human flaws and secrets that complicate his status as a moral authority figure. Voldemort, though a dark lord character, is given a backstory of being abandoned and his obsession with immortality makes him simultaneously terrifying and pitiful (Rowling, Half-Blood Prince 344). "*Rowling's characters resonate because they embody "the psychological and social dilemmas of modern adolescence"* (Nikolajeva 135).

_____

Narrative Techniques: Rowling and Tolkien also diverge in their *narrative techniques*, reflecting their audiences and purposes. Tolkien utilizes a method that is dependent upon medieval literature. His method of interlacing (following parallel plot lines that come together at important moments) creates a sense of scope and suspense. (Flieger 107.) The splitting of the Fellowship after The Fellowship of the Ring allows the story to pursue more than one simultaneous quest, from Frodo and Sam's journey to Mordor to Aragorn's defence of Gondor.

Tolkien's diction often induce biblical and archaic registers, enhancing the mythic tone. Songs, poems, and genealogies slows-down the pacing but contribute to the sense of history and continuity. His intricate themes like fate, free will, the corrupting power of evil are embedded in the narrative structure: the Ring must be destroyed not by might but by weakness, by Frodo's inability and Gollum's unwitting intervention. Tolkien's interlacing *"dramatizes the coexistence of free will and providence, chance and destiny"* (Verlyn Flieger 112).

Rowling employs a fast-paced, mystery-driven structure in her series, that does not bore the reader and quickly immerse them in the narrative. All the books in the *Harry Potter* series are organized on a school-year framework and combine episodic tales with an overall plot development related to the battle with the villain Lord Voldemort. The structure provides both the comfort of familiarity and the excitement of suspense; in this way, the books are more appealing to *younger readers* while including underlying complicated narrative structures. Rowling's writing style is straightforward and engaging, and her humour often contains irony, or at times even satire, which adds complexity for older readers. In some books, particularly *Chamber of Secrets* and *Half-Blood Prince*, the books contain a detective-story like structure focused on mystery and the unknown to create suspense to further the plot.

Rowling gives an intricate touch to her works by the use of vivid imagery and symbolism; *The Deathly Hallows*, for instance symbolizes the pursuit of power, the fear of death, and acceptance of death (Rowling, Deathly Hallows 720). Quidditch (*game of air soccer*) is whimsical but dramatizes teamwork, competition and identity. The pacing of Rowling's story is faster and lighter than Tolkien's, and the stylistic pace reflects adolescence immediacy and the urgency of political crisis.

Thus, Rowling's narrative fosters *immediacy and identification*, while Tolkien's fosters *gravitas and contemplation*. Both, however, achieve immersion: one through speed and relatability, the other through grandeur and depth.

Conclusion:

The comparative analysis of Rowling with Tolkien highlights the range and flexibility of fantasy writing. Both draw on the foundational framework of fantasy literature: magic, quests, multiple realms, fantastical races *(goblins, wizards, elves, cyclops)* and

_____

archetypes. However, both the authors take raw materials and reshape them for different ends.

Tolkien offers a *mythic epic* to readers explicitly tied to language, history, and cosmology. His characters represent archetypal battles and his style provides narrative cover for a world that seeks to imbue timelessness. While writing in the aftermath of world wars, he creates a mythology that celebrates persistence, sacrifice, and the fragile success of good over ugly evil.

In contrast, Rowling embeds fantasy in the immediacy of modernity; her characters are psychologically detailed, her world is a reflection of contemporary society, and her plots arranged around growth, identity, and resistance to authoritarianism. Working at the turn of the millennium, Rowling constructed a fantasy that reflected lived experiences of adolescence, prejudice, and political instability.

Collectively, these authors assert that *fantasy is not escapist* but a literary form for expressing cultural values and interrogating social injustices and the age-old human desire for wonder and meaning. Tolkien gave modern readers a mythology of yesteryear while Rowling provided a myth for the contemporary time. Together, they remind us of the persistent potential of fantasy to *inspire, challenge, and change.*

References:
Attebery, Brian. Strategies of Fantasy. Indiana University Press, 1992.
Jackson, Rosemary. Fantasy: The Literature of Subversion. Routledge, 1981.
Tolkien, J.R.R. On Fairy-Stories. HarperCollins, 2008. Originally published 1939.
Granger, John. The Hidden Key to Harry Potter: Understanding the Meaning, Genius, and Popularity of Joanne Rowling's Harry Potter Novels. Zossima Press, 2002.
Shippey, Tom. J.R.R. Tolkien: Author of the Century. Houghton Mifflin, 2000.
Nikolajeva, Maria. Power, Voice and Subjectivity in Literature for Young Readers. Routledge, 2010.
Flieger, Verlyn. Interrupted Music: The Making of Tolkien's Mythology. Kent State University Press, 2005.
Rowling, J.K. Harry Potter and the Deathly Hallows. Bloomsbury, 2007.