_____

Devika Rangachari's *Queen of Fire*: Rewriting the Female Villain

_____

Bhumika Bisht[1]
UG Student, Department of English, School of Liberal Education

Vivek Kumar[2]
Professor, Department of English, School of Liberal Education

_____

Abstract: Devika Rangachari's *Queen of Fire* presents a compelling feminist reimagining of one of India's most iconic historical figures—Rani Lakshmibai of Jhansi—by challenging traditional depictions of female power and villainy. In literature, powerful women have often been relegated to archetypes of either the virtuous heroine or the threatening villain. The "evil queen" or the "witch" has long symbolized women who dare to break the boundaries of patriarchal expectations. Rangachari's narrative resists this reductive framework by offering a rich, nuanced portrayal of a woman in power—one who is courageous, introspective, strategic, and deeply human. This novel does not merely recount historical events but uses them as a lens to interrogate the cultural narratives that have historically defined and limited women.

At the heart of *Queen of Fire* is the character of Lakshmibai, whose inner world is meticulously explored through internal monologues and reflective passages. This access to her emotional and ethical dilemmas allows readers to witness the toll of leadership and the complexity of her decisions. Her fears, doubts, and moments of vulnerability humanize her, countering the historical and fictional tendency to portray powerful women as cold, calculating, or unnatural. By doing so, Rangachari interrogates the gendered lens through which power is interpreted and judged, especially when embodied by women. The queen's story becomes a site of resistance—not only to colonial domination but also to the patriarchal narratives that have attempted to define her.

Another central theme is the importance of legacy and how women in power are remembered. Rangachari foregrounds Lakshmibai's concern with how future generations will interpret her actions. This introduces a distinctly gendered anxiety about memory and narrative—women are often either sanctified or demonized in history, with little room for complexity. Rangachari disrupts this pattern by giving her protagonist control over her own story. The narrative resists being told *about* the queen by others; instead, it allows her to speak for herself. In doing so, the novel aligns with feminist historiographical efforts to reclaim and re-center subaltern voices.

_____

Ultimately, *Queen of Fire* redefines the female villain by showing that power, when wielded by women, need not be feared or vilified. Through Lakshmibai's journey, Rangachari crafts a powerful statement on resistance, voice, and the rewriting of gendered history. The novel becomes both a reclamation and a reimagination, allowing its protagonist to step beyond the confines of villainy into a fuller, more human legacy.

Keywords: *Female villain, feminist reimagining, Devika Rangachari, Queen of Fire, gender and power, queenship, historical fiction, narrative justice*

Introduction: This paper explores how *Queen of Fire* redefines the archetype of the female villain by presenting Rani Lakshmibai as a complex leader whose power is rooted in ethical responsibility, spiritual alignment, and communal legacy. It examines key themes such as psychological introspection, mythic symbolism, intergenerational sisterhood, and the politics of legacy to illustrate how Rangachari's narrative functions as a site of literary resistance. In doing so, the novel not only challenges the cultural memory of Lakshmibai but also reshapes broader understandings of gender, power, and storytelling itself. Devika Rangachari's *Queen of Fire* redefines the traditional narrative of female villainy by presenting a nuanced and complex portrayal of Rani Lakshmibai. In this historical novel, Rangachari delves deep into the psyche of the queen, unraveling the emotional, political, and moral dimensions of a character often flattened by dominant historiographies. Rather than painting her as a symbol or stereotype, Rangachari presents Lakshmibai as a living, breathing woman who navigates power, trauma, resistance, and responsibility in deeply personal and politically resonant ways.

The psychological depth of Lakshmibai's character is one of the most striking features of the novel. Rangachari uses internal monologue to reveal the emotional toll of leadership—its inherent isolation, the weight of expectations, and the ever-looming possibility of betrayal. These reflections do not detract from her authority but rather humanize it, inviting empathy from the reader. Lakshmibai's moments of vulnerability emphasize that her strength is not the absence of fear or doubt, but her ability to act despite them. Through this lens, Rangachari dismantles the archetype of the cold, power-hungry queen and replaces it with a figure who is morally introspective, emotionally intelligent, and unflinchingly brave (Ghosh 385; Ahmed 142).

Rangachari also interrogates the concept of legacy, a recurring concern for Lakshmibai. The queen worries not only about how to rule justly in the present but also about how history will remember her. Will she be immortalized as a usurper or a visionary? This question becomes a narrative thread that highlights the gendered dimensions of historical memory. Female leaders are often subject to harsher judgments, remembered more for their deviation from traditional gender roles than for their accomplishments. Rangachari's depiction confronts this bias by giving Lakshmibai agency over her own story. She is not

_____

merely the subject of historical narration but its author, thereby challenging the male and colonial lenses that have traditionally shaped her legacy (Narayan 56; Spivak 274; Chakravarti 91).

The novel's narrative structure blends historical realism with mythic elements, effectively enhancing its thematic complexity. Lakshmibai is not portrayed as either wholly mortal or divine; rather, she occupies a liminal space that allows her to transcend simplistic categorizations. Through the use of symbolic motifs—particularly fire—Rangachari constructs a powerful metaphor for feminine strength. Fire in the novel represents both destruction and purification, a duality that mirrors the queen's own role as a warrior and a protector. Other elements like water, air, and earth are subtly woven into the text, signifying transformation, mourning, and resilience (Narayan 59; Thomas 128; Tharu and Lalita 214).

This mythic symbolism allows Rangachari to critique the archetypal roles women have been confined to—saints, mothers, or monsters. Lakshmibai resists all three categories. She is not domesticated into sainthood, nor is she villainized as a threat. Instead, she emerges as a holistic character who embodies justice, strategy, and compassion. Her alignment with dharma, or moral order, grounds her authority not in fear or manipulation, but in spiritual and ethical leadership. This portrayal counters the Western archetype of the wicked witch or the jealous queen, offering a culturally specific model of female power that is both effective and virtuous (Ghosh 390; Warner 272).

An equally important dimension of Rangachari's novel is its emphasis on female relationships. Unlike traditional narratives where women are often depicted in competition—stepmothers against daughters, queens against princesses—*Queen of Fire* emphasizes sisterhood, mentorship, and solidarity. These relationships form a web of support around Lakshmibai, enriching her character and reinforcing the idea that power can be collective rather than isolated. This depiction undermines the myth of the lone, ruthless woman in power and replaces it with a more inclusive vision of leadership that draws strength from community (Narayan 58; Roy 223).

The queen's journey is also shaped profoundly by trauma—be it the loss of loved ones, betrayal, or the devastations of war. Yet rather than becoming a vengeful figure, Lakshmibai transforms her suffering into a source of empathy and resilience. Rangachari presents trauma not as a narrative of defeat but as a crucible through which leadership is refined. This re-framing challenges older tropes in which female trauma either silences women or turns them into antagonists. Instead, Lakshmibai's pain becomes a foundation for her moral vision and emotional strength (Ghosh 391; Thomas 130; Chakravarti 94).

Another critical element of the novel is the tension between public perception and personal reality. Lakshmibai is acutely aware of how her actions will be interpreted—by her subjects, her enemies, and by history itself. This constant negotiation between action and image reveals the double standards that women in power face. A moment of hesitation may

_____

be seen as weakness, while assertiveness may be labeled as cruelty. Rangachari deftly explores this dilemma, showing how the queen must manage both governance and reputation in a society eager to categorize her within narrow confines (Narayan 60; Butler 4).

The narrative perspective further amplifies this critique. By giving readers access to Lakshmibai's inner thoughts and emotional landscape, Rangachari ensures that her protagonist is not merely observed but heard. This narrative choice serves as a political act—reclaiming storytelling space for women who have historically been spoken for rather than listened to. As Marina Warner aptly states, "Narrative power lies in who gets to tell the story" (Warner 268). In *Queen of Fire*, it is Lakshmibai who tells hers.

In addition to the individual journey of Lakshmibai, Rangachari constructs a broader feminist commentary through her portrayal of female networks. These networks—composed of allies, rivals, mentors, and ancestors—create a space where knowledge is shared, power is negotiated, and legacy is built collectively. This emphasis on female interdependence reconfigures traditional ideas of leadership and power, often modeled on solitary male heroes, and instead proposes a more relational, communal vision of authority (Ghosh 388; Roy 227; Tharu and Lalita 219).

Ultimately, *Queen of Fire* is a profound act of feminist historiography. Rangachari retrieves the voice of Rani Lakshmibai from the margins of colonial and patriarchal histories and places her at the center of a narrative that is emotionally rich, politically astute, and symbolically powerful. The novel does more than tell the story of a queen; it reclaims a cultural memory, questions gendered assumptions about power, and resists simplistic moral binaries. In doing so, it not only reimagines a historical figure but also redefines what it means to be a woman in power.

Through this multidimensional portrayal, Rangachari's *Queen of Fire* exemplifies the potential of literature to challenge entrenched norms and offer alternative visions of leadership and legacy. The novel is not simply a retelling of the past; it is a re-visioning—a deliberate, thoughtful, and transformative act that asserts the dignity, complexity, and voice of women long misrepresented or forgotten.

Literature Review: The portrayal of women in historical and fictional narratives has often been filtered through patriarchal lenses that diminish or villainize female power. In the context of Indian literature, such portrayals have predominantly oscillated between idealized motherhood and diabolical ambition. However, contemporary writers like Devika Rangachari are increasingly challenging these reductive binaries by offering nuanced depictions of female figures who straddle power, morality, and emotion with depth and agency. Rangachari's *Queen of Fire* is a powerful contribution to this evolving discourse, especially in reimagining Rani Lakshmibai as a complex leader who resists easy classification.

_____

Leila Ahmed's *Women and Gender in Islam* provides a foundational understanding of how patriarchal structures historically relegated women to marginal roles, particularly in narratives surrounding political and religious authority. Although Ahmed's work is rooted in Islamic contexts, its theoretical implications extend to broader patriarchal societies, including colonial India, where female leadership was seen as aberrant or threatening (Ahmed 142). Rangachari's depiction of Lakshmibai resonates with Ahmed's assertion that gender constructs are historically and culturally contingent, and thus challengeable through storytelling.

Shohini Ghosh emphasizes the necessity of retrieving women's histories from the periphery of dominant narratives. Her work on gender and subalternity argues for a literary reclamation that does not merely insert women into history, but redefines the structures through which history itself is told (Ghosh 385). Rangachari enacts this reclamation by making Lakshmibai not only the subject of the narrative but also its agent. Through introspection and first-person narration, Lakshmibai becomes a narrator of her own fate, aligning with Ghosh's call for self-articulated female histories. Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak's seminal essay "Can the Subaltern Speak?" questions whether marginalized groups—particularly women in colonized societies—can ever truly voice their experiences outside the frameworks of colonial and patriarchal discourse. Rangachari's novel responds affirmatively by constructing a narrative space where Lakshmibai does speak, reflect, and assert. The novel becomes a literary rebuttal to Spivak's critique, suggesting that fiction can serve as a means through which the subaltern not only speaks but also leads (Spivak 274).

Uma Chakravarti's feminist historiography offers another critical lens for understanding *Queen of Fire*. Her biography of Pandita Ramabai demonstrates how women negotiated societal constraints to effect change, often at great personal cost. Chakravarti's exploration of agency within constraint mirrors Rangachari's treatment of Lakshmibai, who must constantly navigate the intersection of duty, trauma, and gendered expectations (Chakravarti 91).

Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity in *Gender Trouble* further contextualizes the novel's challenge to binary gender roles. Butler asserts that gender is not a stable identity but a series of performed acts regulated by societal norms (Butler 4). Lakshmibai's actions—leading armies, engaging in political negotiations, showing emotional vulnerability—transgress conventional femininity. Rangachari thereby constructs a heroine who subverts normative scripts, embodying Butler's notion of gender as performative and subversive.

Anjali Roy's analysis of political subjectivity in Indian literature underscores the importance of female networks and intergenerational solidarity. In *Queen of Fire*, relationships between women are central—not as sites of rivalry but as channels of strength and continuity. This emphasis on collective female experience aligns with Roy's advocacy for a literature that recognizes power as shared and relational rather than singular and

_____

masculine (Roy 223).

Myth and symbolism, too, are integral to Rangachari's rewriting of the female archetype. Marina Warner's exploration of fairy tales and female figures in *From the Beast to the Blonde* identifies the recurrence of the wicked queen and monstrous mother as tropes of anxiety about female autonomy. Rangachari's use of fire as both a literal and symbolic element disrupts these Western archetypes. Fire becomes a tool of purification, strength, and transformation rather than punishment or destruction, offering a culturally rooted metaphor of empowered womanhood (Warner 272).

The anthology *Women Writing in India*, edited by Susie Tharu and K. Lalita, provides a comprehensive view of female voices across centuries, emphasizing their diversity and resilience. Rangachari's work fits into this tradition, not only in content but also in form—eschewing linear, male-centered narratives for introspective, layered storytelling that foregrounds female consciousness and historical agency (Tharu and Lalita 214).

Rosie Thomas's concept of the "wounded heroine" in South Asian literature explores how female trauma can be reframed as resistance rather than victimhood. Lakshmibai's suffering—from personal loss to battlefield devastation—is not a narrative endpoint but a crucible through which her leadership and moral vision are forged (Thomas 130). This interpretation enriches our understanding of how trauma operates in *Queen of Fire*—not as a silencing force but as a catalyst for action and growth.

Finally, Uma Narayan's *Dislocating Cultures* critiques how Western feminist discourse often misrepresents or simplifies Third World women's experiences. Rangachari's portrayal of Lakshmibai offers a counter-narrative that is both rooted in indigenous cultural frameworks and universally resonant. The queen is not positioned as a victim to be rescued, but as a protagonist who shapes her destiny, challenges colonial narratives, and reclaims historical memory on her own terms (Narayan 56).

Together, these scholarly texts provide a robust framework for understanding how *Queen of Fire* functions as a feminist literary intervention. Rangachari draws on historical records, feminist theory, and symbolic language to craft a narrative that not only reimagines Rani Lakshmibai but also redefines what it means for women to lead, resist, and remember. This literature review situates Rangachari's novel within a broader scholarly conversation, demonstrating its significance in rethinking the female villain, the queen archetype, and feminist historiography in postcolonial Indian literature.

Conclusion: Devika Rangachari's *Queen of Fire* stands as a powerful literary intervention that redefines the figure of the female villain. Through the character of a queen who is at once fierce, strategic, compassionate, and complex, Rangachari challenges the reductive archetypes that have historically confined women in literature to roles of evil, jealousy, or passivity. Instead of portraying power in women as inherently threatening, *Queen of Fire*

_____

shows how female authority is deeply layered—shaped by history, trauma, motherhood, ambition, and ethical struggle.

By weaving together elements of folklore, myth, and history, Rangachari builds a narrative that both honors traditional storytelling and subverts its limitations. The queens in her novel are not only leaders but also symbols of resistance, transformation, and survival. They inhabit a space where villainy and virtue blur, reflecting the reality that leadership, especially for women, is rarely black and white.

Moreover, Rangachari's portrayal of female community, spiritual legitimacy, and narrative agency allows her characters to move beyond solitary ambition or romanticized suffering. These queens are part of larger systems—political, cultural, familial—and their navigation of these systems offers a powerful commentary on the challenges women continue to face in positions of influence today.

Ultimately, *Queen of Fire* is more than a retelling of history; it is a reimagining of what female power can look like. It invites readers to question long-standing binaries of hero and villain, and instead, to embrace a more nuanced understanding of women's roles in both literature and society.In doing so, Rangachari not only reclaims the female villain, but elevates her—giving her voice, agency, and the fire to reshape her own destiny.

Works Cited:
Ahmed, Leila. *Women and Gender in Islam*. Yale UP, 1992.
Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990. Chakravarti, Uma. *Rewriting History: The Life and Times of Pandita Ramabai*. Zubaan, 1998. Devika, Rangachari. *Queen of Fire*. Duckbill, 2021.
Ghosh, Shohini. "Feminism and the Subaltern: A Critique." *South Asian Feminisms*, edited by Ania Loomba and Ritty A. Lukose, Duke UP, 2012, pp. 378–392.
Narayan, Uma. *Dislocating Cultures: Identities, Traditions, and Third World Feminism*. Routledge, 1997.
Roy, Anjali. "Women's Voices and the Rewriting of Nation in Indian Literature." *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 45, no. 2, 2009, pp. 218–230.
Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Colonial Discourse and Post-Colonial Theory: A Reader*, edited by Patrick Williams and Laura Chrisman, Columbia UP, 1994, pp. 66– 111.
Tharu, Susie, and K. Lalita, editors. *Women Writing in India: 600 B.C. to the Present*. Vol. 2, Feminist Press at CUNY, 1993.
Thomas, Rosie. "Not Quite (Pearl) White: Fear and Femininity in the Hindi Horror Film." *Bollywood: Popular Indian Cinema through a Transnational Lens*, edited by Rajinder Dudrah and Jigna Desai, Routledge, 2008, pp. 120–138.
Warner, Marina. *From the Beast to the Blonde: On Fairy Tales and Their Tellers*. Vintage, 1995.