**SP Publications**
# International Journal of English and Studies (IJOES)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal; **Impact Factor:** 8.175 (SJIF)
**ISSN:** 2581-8333|**Volume 7, Issue 6| June, 2025**

## Exile, Memory, and Postcolonial Identity in the Fiction of Abdulrazak Gurnah

**Bisma Masood**[1]
PhD Research Scholar Desh Bhagat University, Punjab.
Email: bismamasood111@gmail.com

**Dr. Imtiyaz Ahmad Bhat**[2]
Assistant Professor
Email: bimtiyaz9@gmail.com

**Article Received**: 03/05/2025
**Article Accepted:** 04/06/2025
**Published Online:** 05/06/2025
**DOI**:10.47311/IJOES.2025.18.06.59

**Abstract:** This research paper explores the thematic intersections of exile, memory, and postcolonial identity in the selected works of Abdulrazak Gurnah. Drawing from key texts such as *Paradise*, *By the Sea*, *Admiring Silence*, and *Afterlives*, this study analyzes how Gurnah constructs the psychological and socio-political realities of displacement. Using postcolonial theory, trauma studies, and narratology, the paper investigates how storytelling becomes a method of survival and identity reconstruction within hybrid cultural spaces shaped by colonial legacies. The analysis reveals that Gurnah's oeuvre serves as a literary archive of East African history, diasporic memory, and the ongoing quest for self-definition amidst exile.

**Keywords:** Abdulrazak Gurnah, exile, memory, postcolonial identity, diaspora, trauma, cultural hybridity, narrative silence, migration, storytelling, African literature, colonial legacy, subjectivity, displacement, hybrid identities.

**Introduction:** Abdulrazak Gurnah, recipient of the 2021 Nobel Prize in Literature, stands as one of the most compelling chroniclers of exile, memory, and postcolonial identity in contemporary literature. Born in Zanzibar in 1948 and forced into exile in Britain in the wake of the 1964 Zanzibar Revolution, Gurnah draws extensively from his own experiences of displacement and migration. His works explore the intersecting legacies of colonialism, diaspora, and identity fracture through intricately layered narratives that often resist linearity and national essentialism. As Esther Pujolràs-Noguer notes, Gurnah's fiction is "imbued with an unmistakable vigor to survive or, as the title of the novel [*Afterlives*] surmises, an inveterate urge to surmount death and oblivion" (Pujolràs-Noguer 161).

The themes of geographical exile and cultural estrangement permeate Gurnah's most significant novels, including *Paradise* (1994), *Admiring Silence* (1996), *By the Sea* (2001), and *Afterlives* (2020). His protagonists are frequently caught between worlds—between their native Zanzibar or East Africa and their new homes in Britain or Europe. These characters inhabit what Homi K. Bhabha calls the "third space," a liminal zone where identity is negotiated through hybridity and cultural translation (Bhabha 37). For Gurnah, this liminality is not simply a condition of spatial exile but a deep ontological rupture. As Marco Ruberto observes, "Gurnah's characters are not just exiles but 'pilmigrants,' spiritual and political seekers whose journeys interrogate the assumptions of postcolonial nationhood" (Ruberto 8).

Central to these narratives is the role of memory—both personal and collective—as a vehicle for identity reconstruction and a means of confronting colonial trauma. In *Paradise*, for instance, memory becomes a prism through which the protagonist Yusuf experiences the slow erosion of agency under the intertwined forces of European imperialism and Arab mercantilism. Victor Shwembom argues that *Paradise* "portrays how erroneous modes of remembrance enhance falsehood and identity crises," calling attention to the need for critical engagement with the past to achieve postcolonial selfhood (Shwembom ii). Memory, in Gurnah's fiction, functions as a repository of pain but also as a medium of resistance, challenging dominant historical narratives and reasserting marginalized voices.

The struggle to articulate the self is also dramatized through narrative silence and concealment. In *Admiring Silence* and *By the Sea*, protagonists deliberately obscure or withhold their pasts in response to racialized and bureaucratic forms of exclusion in the host country. Özlem Arslan contends that "silence becomes a tool of resistance against racially, ethnically or culturally discriminating attitudes" (Arslan iv). For the protagonist of *Admiring Silence*, constructing a new identity in Britain necessitates fabricating a palatable version of his origin. The silence he adopts is not merely evasive but a survival mechanism in a society where truth is policed through the gaze of the dominant culture.

This paper explores these themes through a postcolonial theoretical lens, informed by trauma studies and narratology, to investigate how Gurnah constructs fragmented, itinerant identities within a diasporic and postcolonial framework. Using close readings of selected novels, the study foregrounds storytelling as both a redemptive and destabilizing act—a way to assert subjectivity, claim cultural memory, and contest hegemonic discourses. As Zheng Qingyue asserts in her study of *Admiring Silence*, "the protagonist's contradictory psychology while weaving lies vividly expresses the plight of the diaspora caught between geopolitics and culture" (Qingyue 279).

Gurnah's fiction thus provides a vital literary cartography of exile, one in which characters are forced to navigate between cultural loss and narrative reclamation. His work exemplifies what Stuart Hall describes as "identities [that] are always in the process of becoming," never fixed but constantly shaped by historical trauma, migration, and memory

(Hall 394). As this paper will demonstrate, Abdulrazak Gurnah's narratives do more than depict postcolonial loss—they offer intricate meditations on the ways in which displaced individuals reimagine themselves and their histories through storytelling, silence, and remembrance.

**Exile and the Formation of Subjectivity:** In Abdulrazak Gurnah's fiction, exile is not merely a geographical dislocation; it is a deeply existential crisis that fractures the self and unsettles any stable notion of identity. His protagonists often navigate the psychological and ontological consequences of forced migration, encountering not only cultural alienation but also profound disorientation in their sense of being. Exile, in Gurnah's narratives, becomes a condition that shapes subjectivity through trauma, silence, and the compulsive need for narrative reinvention. It is not simply that the characters are displaced from their homelands; rather, they are displaced from coherent, continuous selves.

This is acutely exemplified in *By the Sea* (2001), where the protagonist Saleh Omar, an elderly asylum seeker in England, crafts a complex narrative of concealment and partial truths. Omar, in order to navigate the asylum system, assumes a false identity, adopting another man's visa and suppressing his real name. His silence about the past and his fragmented storytelling reflect both the coercion of migration systems and the inner turbulence of exile. As Kevin Goddard and Sheena Goddard argue, "The novel uses migrant distancing from the African past to ameliorate the pain experienced in that past" (2). In other words, the construction of a new narrative identity is not merely deceptive—it is therapeutic and necessary for survival in a system that requires legible, bureaucratically digestible stories.

Omar's reconstitution of self is not an isolated act of personal subterfuge but is representative of how diasporic individuals are forced to reshape their identities in response to the gaze and expectations of the host society. His partial storytelling, deliberate omissions, and reliance on poetic metaphor reflect what Jacques Derrida refers to as the instability of the subject in exile, where "identity can only be told by way of detour, fiction, or silence" (Derrida 82).

A parallel process occurs in *Admiring Silence* (1996), where the unnamed narrator similarly constructs a fictional identity to assimilate into British society. He obscures his background, lies about his parents, and avoids discussing Zanzibar with his British partner. His silence is both chosen and imposed, illustrating the limits of representation for the migrant subject. Zheng Qingyue observes that this concealment "is not just a strategic act but a symptom of diasporic tension between self-perception and societal expectations" (Qingyue 279). The narrator's identity is suspended between cultural allegiance and the need for acceptance, revealing the precarious balance that migrants must maintain in order to belong.

The titular "admired silence" is, therefore, not only a survival tactic but a form of passive resistance. It critiques the dominant culture's demand for assimilation while also embodying the postcolonial subject's fractured selfhood. Özlem Arslan describes this silence as "a tool of resistance against racially, ethnically or culturally discriminating attitudes" (Arslan iv). The narrator's inability or refusal to disclose his true self reflects his internal conflict, a manifestation of what Homi Bhabha describes as the "unhomely"—the condition in which the boundaries between the private and political collapse and identity becomes radically uncertain (Bhabha 13).

Thus, exile in Gurnah's fiction operates as both an external force and an internal fracture. It compels the subject to reimagine the self through storytelling, silence, and adaptation. Identity, in this sense, is not fixed but is continually rewritten under the pressures of history, memory, and power. In both *By the Sea* and *Admiring Silence*, the formation of subjectivity emerges from a space of loss and reinvention, underscoring the psychological violence of migration regimes that demand simplification and transparency from those whose lives have been anything but Identity, in this sense, is not fixed but is continually rewritten under the pressures of history, memory, and power. In both *By the Sea* and *Admiring Silence*, the formation of subjectivity emerges from a space of loss and reinvention, underscoring the psychological violence of migration regimes that demand simplification and transparency from those whose lives have been anything but straightforward, stable, or easily narrated.

**Trauma, Memory, and the Colonial Legacy:** Memory occupies a central and deeply contested place in Abdulrazak Gurnah's fiction. His novels not only revisit the past but interrogate how that past is remembered, misremembered, and institutionalized through colonial discourse. Memory in Gurnah's work is not passive recollection; it is an active, often painful process of negotiation and recovery. Especially in postcolonial contexts, where imperial powers have rewritten or erased indigenous histories, memory becomes a terrain of struggle—a space in which the colonized subject must wrestle with fractured narratives and traumatic inheritances. As Gurnah once remarked in an interview, "The stories that dominate are not always the ones that tell the truth. Fiction, in this sense, becomes a way of re-entering silenced pasts" (qtd. in Ruberto 10).

In *Paradise* (1994), memory plays a crucial role in constructing both personal identity and collective history. The novel follows the journey of Yusuf, a young boy from East Africa who is taken away from his family and handed over as a "rehani" (a pawn) to an Arab merchant named Aziz. What begins as a tale of childhood unfolds into a complex narrative of displacement, servitude, and cultural manipulation under the twin forces of Islamic-Arabic commerce and European colonialism. As Yusuf traverses East Africa—from the coast into the interior—his lived experiences become imprinted with the trauma of loss, cultural rupture, and imperial domination. The erosion of his sense of belonging parallels the disintegration of local histories under the weight of colonial expansion.

Victor Shwembom, in his dissertation on *Paradise*, emphasizes that the novel "portrays how erroneous modes of remembrance enhance falsehood and identity crises" (Shwembom ii). He argues that the distortion of historical memory—whether through colonial narratives or internalized oppression—creates a profound disjunction between the characters' pasts and their self-perception. For Yusuf, memory becomes not a stable foundation but a site of fragmentation, as he is caught between multiple cultural logics and denied access to any coherent ancestral history. This echoes Pierre Nora's concept of *lieux de mémoire*, or sites of memory, where memory is not organically lived but institutionally constructed—often to the detriment of marginalized peoples.

Moreover, Gurnah complicates the relationship between memory and trauma by showing how remembrance itself can be both redemptive and re-traumatizing. The act of remembering, particularly for characters shaped by slavery, forced migration, or colonial indoctrination, is fraught with emotional and psychological peril. Yet, it is precisely through these acts of remembrance that Gurnah's characters attempt to reclaim agency and narrate their own versions of history. Esther Pujolràs-Noguer points out that Gurnah's fiction embodies "an interruption-continuation framework," where disrupted colonial pasts are slowly pieced back together through stories that resist linearity and official history (Pujolràs-Noguer 161).

The destabilizing force of memory is not confined to *Paradise*. Across Gurnah's oeuvre—including *Desertion* and *Afterlives*—memory functions as a counter-discourse to colonial historiography. Characters sift through fragments, oral traditions, and forgotten lineages in an attempt to restore a sense of continuity. Yet, this restoration is never complete. Rather, Gurnah emphasizes that identity, like memory, remains contingent and provisional—always in the process of becoming. As Ruberto notes, "Gurnah's narratives refuse the neat reconciliations of postcolonial recovery. Instead, they offer itinerant, open-ended engagements with the past" (Ruberto 12).

In this way, memory in Gurnah's fiction is not only a thematic concern but a structural principle. His narratives mirror the instability of memory itself: shifting chronologies, interrupted storytelling, and uncertain narrators reflect the difficulty of articulating coherent identities after historical trauma. The colonial legacy, then, is not simply a backdrop; it is a psychic wound and a narrative rupture that continues to shape the lives of his characters long after the formal end of empire.

**Narrative, Silence, and the Politics of Representation:** In Abdulrazak Gurnah's fiction, narrative form is as significant as narrative content. He adopts techniques such as silence, fragmentation, nonlinear chronology, and unreliable narration not as stylistic ornamentation but as formal responses to the dilemmas of postcolonial representation. In societies ravaged by colonialism, slavery, forced migration, and cultural displacement, the ability to speak—to tell one's story—is often compromised by psychological trauma and social erasure. Gurnah's fiction resists totalizing or redemptive accounts of identity and history, opting

instead for narratives that reflect the disruptions and gaps produced by imperial domination and diasporic existence. Silence, in particular, emerges not only as an absence of speech but as a mode of critique and survival.

Özlem Arslan, in her thesis on *Admiring Silence* and *By the Sea*, asserts that silence functions both as a narrative strategy and a form of political resistance. She explains that "the protagonists' silence covers the things they cannot or refuse to say," suggesting that Gurnah's use of silence is not mere avoidance, but a purposeful act of withholding, born from experiences of alienation, racism, and cultural dispossession (Arslan iv). In *Admiring Silence*, the unnamed narrator fabricates much of his past and remains deliberately mute about his origins. His silence is a means of negotiating a space in British society that requires the immigrant to suppress or sanitize their histories for acceptance. This silence becomes emblematic of what Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak calls the "epistemic violence" of colonial discourse—the inability of the subaltern to speak within dominant representational systems (Spivak 66).

Similarly, in *By the Sea*, Saleh Omar's silences function not only as self-protection from the British immigration authorities but also as a quiet refusal to be reduced to a single narrative of victimhood or legality. By choosing what to reveal and when, Omar retains narrative agency in a context where the migrant is often objectified and pathologized. Kevin Goddard and Sheena Goddard emphasize that Omar's fragmented storytelling—punctuated by ellipses, digressions, and hesitations—mirrors the disjointed process of coming to terms with trauma: "His partial narrative is itself a form of healing, not by confronting the truth directly but by reconfiguring it in manageable pieces" (Goddard 5).

Gurnah's refusal to provide coherent, linear narratives is also evident in *Afterlives* (2020), where he revisits the history of German colonial rule in East Africa and its aftermath. Rather than constructing a definitive history, Gurnah presents layered stories of individuals—Hamza, Afiya, Khalifa—whose lives are shaped by the ripple effects of war, racial hierarchies, and cultural displacement. These characters engage in acts of storytelling not only to process their trauma but to insert themselves into a history that has otherwise erased them. Esther Pujolràs-Noguer interprets this narrative structure as part of an "interruption-continuation" framework, arguing that Gurnah's storytelling "re-narrates a past ruptured by colonial violence, but does so by drawing from the narrative architecture of oral tradition and the Thousand and One Nights" (Pujolràs-Noguer 161). In doing so, Gurnah links the personal act of survival with a collective cultural memory that transcends formal historiography.

This tension between speech and silence, narration and fragmentation, underscores the politics of representation in Gurnah's work. His characters often resist the expectation to present their lives as coherent stories of redemption or integration. Instead, their fractured testimonies expose the structural limitations of Western narrative frameworks in capturing postcolonial realities. As Homi Bhabha argues, the migrant voice is "not merely the voice of

the other but the articulation of difference itself" (Bhabha 36). Gurnah's use of silence and disjunctive storytelling foregrounds this difference, refusing to assimilate into a dominant culture's demand for clarity, linearity, or closure.

Ultimately, Gurnah's narrative strategies affirm the right to opacity—the right not to be fully known or translated into the language of the former colonizer. Silence, in his fiction, becomes not a sign of absence or defeat, but a form of ethical storytelling, acknowledging that some histories are too fragmented, too painful, or too erased to be rendered in totality.

**Cultural Hybridity and Postcolonial Deconstruction:** Abdulrazak Gurnah's fiction consistently interrogates and deconstructs essentialist ideas of cultural identity, nationhood, and belonging. Rather than portraying identity as fixed, monolithic, or tied to a singular origin, his novels foreground cultural hybridity as both a lived condition and a narrative strategy. Gurnah's characters frequently move through spaces shaped by overlapping and often conflicting cultural currents—Swahili, Arab, African, Indian, and European. These hybrid geographies are not just physical spaces but also symbolic sites of cultural negotiation and contestation. In postcolonial theory, such hybridity challenges colonial binaries—such as colonizer/colonized, East/West, or tradition/modernity—by exposing the artificiality and violence of these oppositions.

Homi K. Bhabha's concept of the "third space" is instructive here. Bhabha argues that identity emerges not from a return to a pure cultural origin, but from the negotiation of difference in intercultural encounters: "It is in this in-between space that the negotiation of meaning and representation occurs" (*The Location of Culture* 37). Gurnah's characters, often migrants or postcolonial subjects, inhabit precisely this "in-between" space. In *Desertion* (2005), for example, the relationship between the African narrator Rashid and his British lover Clara encapsulates the tension between intimacy and historical power imbalances. Their personal entanglement mirrors the broader cultural entanglement between Africa and Europe—a relationship marked by affection, misunderstanding, and the scars of empire.

Kavinkumar and Selvam, in their postcolonial analysis of *Desertion* and *Afterlives*, argue that Gurnah's novels "dismantle the illusion of pure cultural identity by showing that identity is always hybrid, fluid, and formed through continuous negotiation" (Kavinkumar and Selvam 1485). The characters in these novels do not experience identity as a birthright or a stable essence but as a series of choices, performances, and adaptations shaped by history, memory, and mobility. Afiya in *Afterlives*, for instance, navigates a life shaped by the trauma of colonial orphanhood, the influence of Islamic traditions, and the socio-economic shifts of the post-German occupation era. Her identity is not reducible to any one of these factors; rather, it is assembled at the intersection of many.

This hybridity also extends to Gurnah's narrative form. His fiction frequently incorporates multiple genres, narrative voices, and intertextual references to both Western literary traditions and East African oral storytelling. Marco Neil Ruberto argues that Gurnah

repurposes established Western literary forms such as the *bildungsroman*, the pilgrimage narrative, and historiographic metafiction in order to "accommodate the representation of different forms of displacement as well as the recounting of alternative versions of the past" (Ruberto 3). In this sense, Gurnah's novels function as acts of literary decolonization, challenging the authority of Eurocentric narrative conventions and opening up space for more pluralistic modes of representation.

Ruberto's idea of the "pilmigrant," a portmanteau of pilgrim and migrant, is especially apt for describing Gurnah's protagonists. These are characters in search not merely of new physical homes but of cultural and existential anchoring. Their journeys are often spiritual and psychological as much as geographic, rooted in a desire to reconcile fragmented identities in a world where traditional markers of self (nation, race, religion) no longer offer stable ground. In *Memory of Departure* (1987), the protagonist Hassan undertakes a literal and symbolic journey that blends pilgrimage, exile, and education, reflecting the complex trajectories of many postcolonial subjects who must continually cross borders to remake themselves.

Gurnah's multilingual and multi-ethnic characters also complicate the linguistic hierarchies established under colonial rule. By including Swahili terms, Islamic references, and untranslated cultural idioms, he resists the homogenizing force of English and asserts the legitimacy of African epistemologies and expressive modes. This narrative pluralism embodies what Edward Said called the "contrapuntal" nature of postcolonial literature: a text in which multiple histories, voices, and cultures are woven together in dialogue and tension (*Culture and Imperialism* 59).

In conclusion, Gurnah's fiction does not simply depict hybridity, it rather enacts it. Through his characters, his genre-blending narratives, and his deconstruction of colonial binaries, Gurnah builds a literary world in which identity is dynamic, context-dependent, and perpetually in the making. His work speaks to the realities of a globalized, postcolonial world, where the boundaries between cultures are porous and where belonging must often be forged anew, not inherited

.

**Conclusion:** Abdulrazak Gurnah's fiction emerges as a powerful intervention in postcolonial literature, mapping the intricate terrain of exile, memory, and identity with profound psychological and political acuity. Through his fragmented narratives, silences, and multi-vocal storytelling, Gurnah does not merely recount the pain of displacement he rather reconfigures the act of narration itself as a site of resistance and reimagination. His characters, shaped by forced migrations, colonial legacies, and the diasporic condition, offer complex portrayals of subjectivity under siege.

Gurnah's work is remarkable in its ability to blend the personal with the historical, showing how the traumas of empire, slavery, and migration are not distant events but deeply embodied realities. Whether it is Yusuf's dislocated childhood in *Paradise*, Saleh Omar's

bureaucratically mediated silence in *By the Sea*, or the fictional reinventions in *Admiring Silence*, each narrative reveals how identity must be negotiated at the intersection of memory and survival. These stories demonstrate that the wounds of colonialism are not easily closed—they persist through ruptured genealogies, disrupted languages, and the struggle for narrative control.

Moreover, Gurnah's engagement with hybridity challenges monolithic understandings of culture, offering instead a vision of identity as dynamic, contingent, and always in flux. As Homi Bhabha's "third space" theory suggests, these hybrid zones are not merely chaotic but creative, offering new modes of being that transcend colonial binaries (Bhabha 37). Gurnah's novels function within this space, dismantling rigid distinctions between tradition and modernity, home and exile, self and other.

His use of narrative silence as both a symptom of trauma and a strategic tool of resistance underscores the limitations of dominant representational frameworks. Özlem Arslan's insight that silence is "a tool of resistance against racially, ethnically or culturally discriminating attitudes" (iv) is especially relevant in the context of Gurnah's protagonists, who often refuse the imposed transparency demanded by host societies. These silences destabilize the reader's desire for resolution and expose the inadequacy of Western narrative conventions to encompass postcolonial subjectivities.

Additionally, Gurnah's fiction serves as an alternative archive recovering suppressed histories, reanimating oral traditions, and unsettling colonial historiography. His novels become spaces where storytelling itself is politicized, where fragmented voices speak against erasure. As Esther Pujolràs-Noguer argues, Gurnah's stories embody an "interruption-continuation" framework that reclaims historical continuity through literary form (161). In doing so, he asserts the right of the formerly colonized not just to speak, but to narrate in their own terms, through structures that reflect their histories and epistemologies.

In an era marked by the rise of global displacement, border nationalism, and refugee crises, Gurnah's fiction is not merely relevant but urgent. His nuanced portrayals of exile and hybridity compel readers to interrogate their own assumptions about identity, belonging, and citizenship. He reminds us that the migrant is not simply an object of policy or sympathy, but a subject with memory, agency, and narrative complexity. As Stuart Hall affirms, identity is "always in process," shaped by history, culture, and the struggle for recognition (394)—a reality that Gurnah captures with remarkable clarity.

Ultimately, Gurnah's fiction invites us to listen to the silences, to read between the fragments, and to recognize the narrative strategies through which the postcolonial subject asserts their humanity. His work enriches the field of postcolonial studies not only by representing the afterlives of empire but by offering literature as a mode of survival, healing, and cultural negotiation. In charting the intersecting landscapes of trauma and

transformation, Gurnah gives voice to those long silenced—and in doing so, transforms silence itself into a radical act of storytelling.

**Works Cited:**

Arslan, Özlem. *A Postcolonial Narratological Study of Silence in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Admiring Silence and By the Sea*. METU, 2014.

Arslan, Özlem. *A Postcolonial Narratological Study of Silence in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Admiring Silence and By the Sea*. Middle East Technical University, 2014.

Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.

Derrida, Jacques. *Of Hospitality*. Translated by Rachel Bowlby, Stanford UP, 2000.

Goddard, Kevin, and Sheena Goddard. "Trauma and the Dialectics of Recuperation in Abdulrazak Gurnah's *By the Sea*." *Journal of Literary Studies*, 2022.

Goddard, Kevin, and Sheena Goddard. "Trauma and the Dialectics of Recuperation in Abdulrazak Gurnah's *By the Sea*." *Journal of Literary Studies*, vol. 38, no. 3, 2022, pp. 1–15.

Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Colonial Discourse and Post-Colonial Theory*, edited by Patrick Williams and Laura Chrisman, Routledge, 1994, pp. 392–403.

Kavinkumar, P., and M. Selvam. "Cultural Deconstruction in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Refugee Narratives: A Postcolonial Reading." *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology*, vol. 13, no. 4, 2025, pp. 1485–1490.

Pujolràs-Noguer, Esther. "Suspended Paradise: Survival and Storytelling in Abdulrazak Gurnah's *Afterlives*." *Kritika Kultura*, 2023.

Pujolràs-Noguer, Esther. "Suspended Paradise: Survival and Storytelling in Abdulrazak Gurnah's *Afterlives*." *Kritika Kultura*, no. 41, 2023, pp. 160–183.

Qingyue, Zheng. "Memory Writing and Identity Construction in Abdulrazak Gurnah's *Admiring Silence*." *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2024, pp. 278–284.

Rana, Abhay Singh, and Sharma, Sandeep Kumar. "Ostracism and Slavery in Abdulrazak Gurnah's *Paradise*." *Cuest.fisioter*, 2025.

Ruberto, Marco Neil. *Itinerant Narratives: Travel, Identity and Literary Form in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Fiction*. PhD Thesis, Nottingham Trent University, 2009.

Ruberto, Marco Neil. *Itinerant Narratives: Travel, Identity and Literary Form in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Fiction*. PhD Dissertation, Nottingham Trent University, 2009.

Said, Edward W. *Culture and Imperialism*. Alfred A. Knopf, 1993.

Shwembom, Victor. *The Dynamics of Memory and Identity in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Paradise*. University of Yaoundé I, 2016.

Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 66–111.

Zheng, Qingyue. "Meomry Writing and Identity Construction in Abdulrazak Gurnah's *Admiring Silence*." *IJELS*, 2024.