

Scars of Freedom: Partition Trauma and the Fragmented Self in Manohar Malgonkar's *A Bend in the Ganges*

S. Stalin¹

Ph. D Research Scholar in English, H. H. The Rajah's College (Autonomous),
Pudukkottai-622001 (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli)

Dr. S. Ganesan²

Research Supervisor and Associate Professor of English, H. H. The Rajah's College
(Autonomous), Pudukkottai. (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli)

Article Received: 10/10/2025

Article Accepted: 11/11/2025

Published Online: 12/11/2025

DOI:10.47311/IJOES.2025.7.11.90

Abstract:

This paper explores Manohar Malgonkar's *A Bend in the Ganges* (1964) as a significant examination of Partition trauma and the moral collapse that ensued after independence. The novel depicts the conflict between Gandhian non-violence and revolutionary action, illustrating how both ideals succumb to hatred and vengeance during the upheaval of 1947. Through the characters of Gian Talwar and Debi Dayal, Malgonkar demonstrates the transformation of conviction into fanaticism and of freedom into chaos. The study utilises the theories of Cathy Caruth and Dominick LaCapra to analyse the workings of violence, memory, and guilt in shaping personal and national identity. The novel reveals the internal fractures of its characters and the disillusionment of a generation that sought liberty but encountered moral loss. It serves as a reflection on the scars of Partition and the effort for renewal in a divided nation.

Keywords: Partition Trauma, Postcolonial Memory, Violence and Non-Violence, Gendered Suffering, Moral Disillusionment, Historical Remembrance

Introduction

The history of India's independence, like that of many ancient civilisations entering the modern era of nationhood, divides into two inseparable phases—the heroic struggle for freedom and the tragic aftermath of achievement. The first culminated in 1947, when the long-awaited dawn of liberty arrived; the second began that very day, when joy turned to anguish and unity disintegrated into Partition. Nearly twelve million people were uprooted from their homes, half a million were slain, and, as Manohar Malgonkar reminds us, “over a hundred thousand women... were abducted, raped, mutilated.” Thus, the triumph of independence became inseparable from the deepest wound in the nation's memory. Malgonkar's *A Bend in the Ganges* (1964) belongs to that body of post-Partition fiction

which aims to understand this paradox of liberation and loss. The novel begins with Gandhi's own warning: "What if, when the fury bursts, not a man, woman, or child is safe and every man's hand is raised against his neighbour?" Set alongside the Ramayana's line—"At A Bend in the Ganges, they paused to take a look at the land they were leaving"—these words transform the river into a symbol of destiny and memory, the meeting-place of purity and blood.

Two figures stand at the heart of this moral landscape: Gian Talwar, the disciple of Gandhi, and Debi Dayal, the revolutionary idealist. Between them extends the full range of India's ethical debate—non-violence and rebellion, faith and fanaticism. Their personal transformations reflect the broader psychological fracture of the nation. Viewed through the lens of trauma theory, the novel captures what Cathy Caruth describes as the "return of the event," the repetition of an unprocessed shock within the individual and society. The violence of Partition thus emerges not only as a historical disaster but as an ongoing disturbance within the conscience of the people. When Tekchand, watching the city in flames, states that "every citizen was caught up in the holocaust... mobs ruled the streets, burning, looting, killing, dishonouring women and mutilating children," his voice becomes the collective testimony of a civilisation confronting its own madness.

In this way, *A Bend in the Ganges* connects history and psychology. It turns the story of freedom into a reflection on memory, guilt, and moral collapse. The next section explores how the novel depicts the ideological clash between Gandhi's principle of ahimsa and the revolutionary cult of blood—the contradiction at the core of modern India's quest for salvation.

The Crisis of Ideology: Violence and Non-Violence

The nationalist movement in India was rooted in a moral quest—the effort of an oppressed people to gain freedom through spiritual discipline. However, every such effort contains an inherent contradiction. The novel begins with the Ceremony of Purification, where British garments are burned before the tricolour. The act is both patriotic and foreboding. The fire consuming foreign cloth foreshadows the destruction of cities and homes. Consequently, the author connects the ritual cleansing of nationalism with the underlying violence that lurks beneath its surface. At this stage, Gian Talwar stands as a symbol of Gandhian faith. To him, Gandhi is "like a god," the leader who will guide the nation to liberty "through non-violence." His belief is simple and devout: truth and restraint will ultimately triumph over empire. Opposite him are Debi Dayal and the militant Sikh, Singh, who reject the doctrine of patience. "Freedom has to be won; it has to be won by sacrifice; by giving blood... Non-violence is the philosophy of sheep, a creed for cowards," Singh proclaims; and in a single breath, he foresees catastrophe—"A million shall die, I tell you—a million!". This exchange captures the moral divide of an awakening nation. The quarrel between the Gandhian and the revolutionary is not a battle of politics but of temperament. Gian's idealism rests on faith in man's innate goodness; Singh's militancy springs from despair of it. Both are genuine, and both will ultimately be powerless against the logic of history. The ahimsa of one, strained beyond endurance, turns to vengeance; the

zeal of the other, unchecked by pity, hardens into cruelty. In each case, Malgonkar shows the same tragic progression—the descent of principle into passion. His impartiality gives the episode its moral weight. He neither condemns Gandhi nor glorifies the rebels. He records, with tragic calm, the inevitable collapse of idealism when confronted by human rage. The ceremonial bonfire that opens the novel, the prison revolts that follow, and the riots that conclude it are three phases of a single progression: the transformation of moral fervour into violence. The “bend” of the Ganges is therefore not merely geographical; it is the turning of the human will—from the still waters of belief to the turbulent flood of fury.

Partition as Collective Trauma

The Partition of India marks the darkest chapter in the history of the nation’s rebirth. What began as a struggle for liberty ended in a wave of destruction. The same land that had preached ahimsa was suddenly overwhelmed by hatred. The great moral experiment of non-violence, conceived in faith and sustained by sacrifice, was shattered at the moment of triumph. In *A Bend in the Ganges*, this shift from exaltation to ruin is depicted with epic scope and historical accuracy. At the opening of “The Anatomy of Partition,” Tekchand gazes from his balcony at “the smoke of the fires in the distance,” while the city remains silent under curfew. Soon, the silence shatters into terror: “These riots were occasioned by the cutting up of the country... Every citizen was caught up in the holocaust; no one could remain aloof; mobs ruled the streets, burning, looting, killing, dishonouring women and mutilating children.” The rhythm of this passage conveys both order and outrage, transforming testimony into lament. Two aspects of the catastrophe become clear. The first is collective and historical—the collapse of law and fellowship in the face of the raw fury of the crowd. Society, once upheld by faith and ritual, dissolves into chaos; sacred symbols become tools of retribution. The second is psychological—the internal fracture of conscience mirroring the outer destruction. Men who once spoke of truth now retaliate; women who sang of devotion fall silent or despair. The violence that destroys cities also consumes souls. Gian’s transformation from Gandhi’s disciple to a murderer exemplifies this internal disintegration. His succumbing to rage mirrors the moral fatigue of an entire generation. Debi Dayal’s revolutionary passion likewise descends into fanaticism. In their downfall, the novel exposes the spread of trauma—the transmission of fear and guilt from individual to community until all are equally wounded. The spectacle of refugee migrations, “two great rivers of humanity flowing in opposite directions... leaving their dead and dying littering the landscape,” reaches the level of myth yet offers none of its comfort. This is an exodus without a destination, a movement of bodies stripped of names and homes. The Ganges itself, ancient witness of purification, becomes the river of exile. Its bend signifies not renewal but displacement, the distortion of faith into sorrow. For Malgonkar, Partition is more than a political division; it is a moral catastrophe. Civilisation, having attained the peak of ethical hope, regresses into primitive chaos. His calm, factual narration of massacre and flight hides a cry of despair—that humanity, in seeking freedom, might destroy the very compassion that makes freedom meaningful. In this vision, *A Bend in the Ganges* functions both as a historical record and a moral allegory: a testament to the moment when liberation turned into loss.

The Wounded Psyche: Individual Trauma and Survivor Guilt

The outward disaster of Partition reflected in the internal collapse of the human spirit. The destruction of cities mirrored the destruction of conscience. In *A Bend in the Ganges*, Malgonkar shifts from public chaos to private suffering, demonstrating that history's violence lingers within the mind long after the flames have died. The moral universe disintegrates; faith and guilt struggle for dominance within the soul. Gian Talwar, once the gentle disciple of Gandhi, embodies this inner decay. His principles had been self-restraint and forgiveness, yet the pressure of humiliation and betrayal turns belief into anger. When provoked beyond endurance, the hand that vowed never to strike becomes an instrument of vengeance. Later, Debi Dayal's bitter reflection captures the irony: "Was Gian the man, the non-violent disciple of Gandhi who had been convicted for murder?" Through Gian's downfall, the novel reveals how moral ideals collapse when faced with the raw instinct to survive. The purity of ahimsa yields to the violence it sought to prevent. Debi Dayal's descent follows a different yet parallel route. His revolutionary zeal, sparked by patriotism, turns into obsession. The principle of sacrifice becomes the principle of revenge. Imprisonment and betrayal deprive him of empathy until hatred becomes his only discipline. What started as devotion to freedom ends as servitude to violence. Gian and Debi, though opposed in principle, converge at the same point of ruin: both become prisoners of the forces they once sought to control. Their suffering embodies the psychology of trauma. The past returns not as memory but as repetition, compelling them to relive what they cannot comprehend. Gian's remorse and Debi's fury are twin forms of the same affliction—the paralysis of guilt. They inhabit a moral twilight in which action brings neither release nor redemption. The external Partition thus becomes an inner partition of the self. Malgonkar portrays this internal drama with careful restraint. He avoids confessions or rhetoric; his characters communicate through silence and gesture. The reader perceives the weight of unspoken pain—the sort that, in modern psychological terms, marks the survivor. The trauma is conveyed, not narrated; it lingers like an echo. In this way, the novel transforms psychological experience into moral reflection. The wound that remains is both historical and personal. The survivors of Partition live amidst the ruins of their ideals, unable to regain faith yet unable to forget it. The river that once symbolised purity now mirrors their guilt; its flow carries memory rather than forgiveness. Therefore, *A Bend in the Ganges* is not just the story of a nation's tragedy but also the anatomy of a conscience torn within itself.

The Body and the Nation: Gendered Violence and the Partition Wound

In every era of upheaval, women's suffering constitutes the most silent and lasting record of pain. The Partition of India, which divided the land, also desecrated its moral centre. The wound of the nation was inscribed upon the bodies of its women. Malgonkar, while documenting political disintegration, perceives this deeper tragedy—the violation of the feminine as the ultimate violation of the sacred. In his Author's Note, he reminds us that "twelve million people had to flee... nearly half a million were killed; over a hundred thousand women, young and old, were abducted, raped, mutilated." These figures, delivered in the calm rhythm of history, hide a horror beyond measure. Each number represents a life erased and a home violated. What had been proclaimed as the purity of Bharat Mata—the Motherland—was turned into an ordeal for her daughters. The political map was redrawn through the violation of the female body. Throughout the novel, womanhood acts as both

symbol and witness. In India's cultural imagination, the feminine represents purity and creation; to hurt her is to desecrate the divine. Therefore, the attacks on women during the riots hold ritual significance—they are not random acts but deliberate desecrations, designed to shame both the enemy's honour and the nation's spirit. Scenes of abduction and dishonour are depicted with restraint, yet their brevity heightens their moral impact. The sacred and the savage converge in a single gesture. The female figures—Aji, Sundari, and the countless nameless sufferers—stand as symbols of resilience. They speak little, yet their silence testifies to a civilisation's failure. While men debate ideals of purity and freedom, the women bear the burden of those abstractions. Aji's dignity amid ruin and Sundari's violated innocence reveal the hypocrisy of male heroism. The fire of nationalism, sparked to purify, consumes its own hearth. Malgonkar's approach to gendered trauma is characterised by restraint rather than rhetoric. He writes as both chronicler and mourner. Without sentimentality, he evokes what Veena Das and other historians have later documented—the forced “recoveries,” the ritual cleansings, and the official silences that followed. The act of purification, once spiritual, becomes political; honour is restored not through justice but through forgetting. In this terrible irony lies the most accurate reflection of the moral collapse of partition. Thus, the novel transforms the violated woman into a symbol of the violated nation. The rivers that once purified now bear the memory of blood; the temples that sheltered devotion echo with cries of loss. The feminine, once worshipped as the giver of life, becomes the battlefield of vengeance. In restoring these silenced histories to fiction, Malgonkar gives voice to what history itself suppressed—the pain that endures beyond words, and the wound that no freedom can heal.

From History to Memory: Narrative Strategies of Remembering

Every great historical novel serves a purpose beyond mere storytelling: it teaches how to remember. Manohar Malgonkar's *A Bend in the Ganges* does more than just record the events of freedom and Partition; it transforms them into lasting symbols of loss and moral contemplation. The structure of the work itself traces the shift from collective faith to collective amnesia, from the discipline of ideology to the dispersal of memory. History thus becomes experience, and experience, remembrance. The two epigraphs that frame the novel establish this structure of memory. Gandhi's warning—“What if, when the fury bursts, not a man, woman, or child is safe ...?”—introduces the foreknowledge of catastrophe, while the Ramayana's line—“At A Bend in the Ganges, they paused to take a look at the land they were leaving”—recalls the archetype of exile. Together they transform the river into a bridge between myth and modernity, linking the ancient memory of departure to the modern experience of displacement. Each return to the river within the narrative repeats this gesture of retrospection, as if the nation itself pauses to reflect on its own wounds. Malgonkar's prose style maintains this tone of remembrance. It is clear, solemn, and rhythmically restrained. Each part of the narrative—the bonfires of Swadeshi, the prison marches, the caravans of refugees—concludes with an image of silence or aftermath. These recurring closures create a rhythm of trauma, in which the past reasserts itself through repetition. The imagery of burning cloth, deserted roads, and flowing water acts as a collective mnemonic—symbols of what cannot be forgotten even when unspoken. The river Ganges becomes the novel's central symbol of time and continuity. In ancient tradition, it purifies; in Malgonkar's view, it bears

witness. Its bend signifies the moral turning point of a civilisation—the moment when the course of history shifts but its essence remains. The water that once washed away sin now carries the ashes of the dead. Immersion becomes remembrance rather than renewal. Through this transformation of sacred imagery, the author turns geography into philosophy: the river flows not only through the land but through the conscience of its people. The historical irony further deepens this reflection. The triumph of independence, presented with restraint, carries an undercurrent of sorrow. The victory of freedom coincides with the loss of innocence. Progress manifests as repetition, and deliverance as exile. The moral lesson is implicit: nations, like individuals, must confront their own history before they can find healing. In the final scenes, the survivors stand once more beside the river, facing not the enemy but the past. The stillness that follows violence is not peace but reflection. Yet within this silence lies endurance — the first condition of remembrance. Thus, *A Bend in the Ganges* concludes where it began, beside the eternal river of India, whose unending flow carries both the ashes of history and the fragile hope of purification.

Conclusion: The Afterlife of Violence

Every revolution leaves behind a residue of silence—the silence of those who survive it. The Partition of India was not only a political rupture but also a moral wound whose echo persists through generations. In *A Bend in the Ganges*, Manohar Malgonkar transforms that wound into art, recording with restrained compassion the descent of a people from idealism into fury. He neither condemns nor excuses; he observes. His vision is that of a chronicler who understands that freedom gained through suffering can never be entirely innocent. The central irony of the novel lies in the paradox that non-violence culminates in bloodshed. The author's own verdict—"Only the violence in this story happens to be true ... What was achieved through non-violence brought with it one of the bloodiest upheavals of history"—summarises the moral dilemma of modern India. The achievement of liberty becomes inseparable from the burden of guilt. In Malgonkar's hands, this irony transcends its local setting to become a reflection on human history itself: wherever men have sought purity through force, they have found ruin instead of redemption. Through Gian and Debi, the novelist embodies this universal contradiction. The Gandhian and the revolutionary, the believer and the rebel, converge in defeat. Each dreams of deliverance and awakens to remorse. Their tragedy extends beyond national boundaries; it speaks for all who have witnessed the collapse of faith under the weight of passion. Thus the novel becomes a parable of the twentieth century—the age that pursued utopia and discovered despair. Yet amid the wreckage, a faint endurance persists. The river Ganges, flowing through the book like an unbroken thread, symbolises continuity amidst ruin. Its waters are darkened but not still; they carry the ashes of the past and the potential for renewal. The survivors who stand upon its banks at the novel's conclusion embody this fragile persistence. They have lost their ideals but not their ability to remember, and remembrance is the first step toward moral renewal. In this union of history and conscience, *A Bend in the Ganges* reaches its ultimate significance. It is not just a record of Partition but a reflection on humanity's divided nature—the ongoing struggle between faith and fury, liberty and guilt. The violence that concludes the novel does not end its significance; it persists in memory, shaping the afterlife of a nation and the conscience of its people.

References:

- Bhasin, Kamla, and Ritu Menon. *Borders and Boundaries: Women in India's Partition*. Kali for Women, 1998.
- Bhuvaneswari, M., A. Desiree Ann, and K. Yesodha Devi. "Animal Subjugation and Human Disgrace: Power and Violence in Coetzee's *Disgrace*." *The Bioscan*, vol. 19, no. 2, supp. 2, 2024, pp. 495–498. *The Bioscan*,
- Bhuvaneswari, M., A. Desiree Ann, and K. Yesodha Devi. "Breaking the Chains of Technology: Reclaiming Humanity in Ray Bradbury's *Fahrenheit 451*." *The Bioscan*, vol. 20, no. 1, 2025, pp. 752–755. *The Bioscan*,
- Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996.
- Das, Veena. *Critical Events: An Anthropological Perspective on Contemporary India*. Oxford UP, 1995.
- LaCapra, Dominick. *Writing History, Writing Trauma*. Johns Hopkins UP, 2001.
- Malgonkar, Manohar. *A Bend in the Ganges*. Hamish Hamilton, 1964.
- Menon, Ritu, and Kamla Bhasin. *Borders and Boundaries: Women in India's Partition*. Kali for Women, 1998.
- Pandey, Gyanendra. *Remembering Partition: Violence, Nationalism and History in India*. Cambridge UP, 2001.
- Rajagopalan, Priyamvada. "Gender, Memory and Violence in Partition Narratives." *Indian Literature*, vol. 56, no. 2, 2012, pp. 47-63.
- Sarkar, Bhaskar. *Mourning the Nation: Indian Cinema in the Wake of Partition*. Duke UP, 2009.
- Singh, Khushwant. *Train to Pakis*