An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal; **Impact Factor:** 8.175 (SJIF) **ISSN:** 2581-8333|**Volume 7, Issue 10(October)2025**

Common Grammar Errors in Social Media and Their Effects

Dr. V. Murugalakshmi¹ Ms.D.Mahalakshmi²

Assistant Professor, Department of English, P. S. R Engineering College, Sivakasi Assistant Professor, Department of English, Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal college, Sivakasi

Article Received: 10/09/2025 Article Accepted: 08/10/2025 Published Online: 10/10/2025 DOI:10.47311/IJOES.2025.7.10.165

Abstract:

In the digital age, social media platforms have become dominant channels of communication, allowing users to express ideas rapidly, casually, and often with minimal attention to linguistic accuracy. This paper investigates the nature and impact of common grammatical errors found in social media discourse, focusing on their implications for communication clarity, public perception, and broader language evolution. Drawing on a mixed-methods approach, the study analyzes a corpus of user-generated posts across multiple platforms—including Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram—and categorizes frequent grammar errors such as subject-verb disagreement, punctuation misuse, homophone confusion, and sentence fragmentation.

In addition to content analysis, surveys and interviews with diverse users were conducted to gauge perceptions regarding the seriousness and acceptability of these errors in different social contexts. Results show a high tolerance for informal language in casual communication but growing concern over grammar accuracy in professional, educational, and influential settings. The paper argues that while social media fosters linguistic creativity and cultural expression, the normalization of grammatical inaccuracy can hinder effective communication and contribute to negative stereotypes or misinterpretations.

The findings contribute to the ongoing dialogue on digital literacy, suggesting the need for nuanced language education that integrates traditional grammar instruction with the realities of modern communication platforms. As grammar continues to play a critical role in shaping perceptions and understanding, especially in an increasingly online world, this study emphasizes the importance of balancing innovation in digital expression with linguistic clarity and coherence.

Introduction

In recent years, social media has transformed the landscape of human communication. Platforms like Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok have provided new avenues for instant interaction and self-expression. However, the casual and often spontaneous nature of posts encourages abbreviated, and sometimes incorrect, grammatical usage. This phenomenon raises critical questions about the implications of grammar errors

An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal; **Impact Factor:** 8.175 (SJIF) **ISSN:** 2581-8333|**Volume 7, Issue 10(October)2025**

social media for communication clarity, social perceptions, and the evolving standards of language.

While traditional grammar has long been associated with clarity, professionalism, and credibility, social media often blurs these boundaries. This paper explores common grammatical errors found in social media content, investigates their effects on how messages are interpreted, and assesses their broader implications for language development. Understanding these dynamics is essential for educators, linguists, and communication specialists who navigate the interplay between evolving language use and conventional grammar norms.

Literature Review

Grammar in Digital Communication

Language is inherently dynamic, and technological advances have historically influenced linguistic changes. The rise of the internet and social media has accelerated this evolution, introducing new lexicons, syntactical structures, and pragmatic conventions (Crystal, 2006). Scholars argue that social media communication fosters a shift from formal grammar rules toward more flexible, context-driven language practices (Thurlow & Poff, 2013).

Common Grammar Errors in Social Media

Studies identify several recurring grammar mistakes on social media. These include:

- **Subject-Verb Agreement Errors:** Examples such as "He don't know" instead of "He doesn't know" are widespread (Tagliamonte & Denis, 2008).
- **Punctuation Misuse:** Omission or misuse of commas, apostrophes, and periods often affect sentence clarity.
- **Homophone Confusion:** Words like "there," "their," and "they're" are frequently interchanged incorrectly.
- Run-on Sentences and Sentence Fragments: Lack of proper sentence boundaries due to the informal nature of posts (Baron, 2008).

These errors can result from a variety of factors, including typographical mistakes, autocorrect interference, and intentional stylistic choices that prioritize speed and expression over accuracy.

Effects of Grammar Errors

Grammar errors in social media affect both communication and perception. Some studies show that such errors can reduce message clarity and lead to misunderstandings (Dresner & Herring, 2010). Furthermore, they influence perceptions of credibility and intelligence, particularly in professional or academic contexts (Eisenstein, 2013). However, other research highlights how these errors reflect creative language use, signaling identity, social belonging, and group membership (Tagg, 2015).

Methodology

Data Collection

To examine the types and frequency of grammar errors on social media, this study collected 1,000 publicly available posts from Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram. Posts were

An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal; **Impact Factor:** 8.175 (SJIF) **ISSN:** 2581-8333|**Volume 7, Issue 10(October)2025**

randomly selected using hashtags related to current events, lifestyle, and education to ensure diverse content.

Error Identification and Categorization

Posts were analyzed manually by trained linguists to identify grammatical errors. Errors were categorized into:

- Subject-Verb Agreement
- Punctuation Errors
- Homophone Confusion
- Sentence Fragments and Run-ons
- Other common mistakes (spelling, tense errors)

Survey and Interviews

To understand perceptions, a survey was distributed to 200 participants aged 18-45, asking them to rate the impact of grammar errors on message clarity and credibility. Follow-up interviews with 20 participants explored deeper attitudes toward grammar in social media versus formal writing contexts.

Results

Frequency of Grammar Errors

Among the 1,000 posts analyzed:

- 34% contained punctuation errors (missing commas, apostrophes)
- 28% had subject-verb agreement mistakes
- 22% showed homophone confusion
- 16% included run-on sentences or sentence fragments
- Other errors (e.g., tense inconsistencies, spelling errors) appeared in 10%

Impact on Communication Clarity

Survey results showed that:

- 70% of respondents agreed grammar errors sometimes made posts harder to understand.
- 55% believed that punctuation mistakes affected clarity the most.
- 40% felt that homophone errors caused confusion in interpreting meaning.

Perception of Credibility

- 65% rated posts with grammar errors as less credible.
- 50% reported being less likely to trust information from posts with multiple grammatical mistakes.
- However, 45% stated they were more forgiving of grammar errors on casual social media posts than in professional communication.

Interview Insights

Interviewees expressed a nuanced view, recognizing the informal nature of social media but emphasizing the importance of grammar in contexts where accuracy matters, such as job applications or academic discussions. Some noted that creative misuse of grammar can serve as a form of expression and identity formation online.

Discussion

The findings reveal a tension between the functional role of grammar in clear communication and the evolving nature of language on social media. Grammar errors, while

An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal; **Impact Factor:** 8.175 (SJIF) **ISSN:** 2581-8333|**Volume 7, Issue 10(October)2025**

often hindering clarity, are sometimes tolerated as part of the informal and creative ethos of digital interaction. This duality presents challenges for language educators and communication professionals who must balance promoting grammatical accuracy with acknowledging digital communication's dynamic context.

Moreover, the study highlights that not all grammar errors are viewed equally. Punctuation and subject-verb agreement mistakes are more likely to impede understanding, whereas certain informal constructions are accepted or even embraced within specific social groups.

This paper argues for educational approaches that address digital literacy, helping users understand when grammatical correctness is essential and when linguistic flexibility is acceptable. Social media, therefore, should be seen both as a space of linguistic innovation and as a domain where clarity and professionalism can still coexist.

Conclusion

This study set out to explore the nature and impact of common grammatical errors in social media communication—a space increasingly central to how individuals share ideas, form relationships, and express identity. Through the analysis of user-generated content and insights from participant surveys and interviews, it has become evident that while grammar errors are widespread across platforms, their effects are complex and context-dependent. The findings indicate that certain errors—such as punctuation misuse, subject-verb disagreement, and homophone confusion—occur frequently and can significantly affect message clarity. In professional and academic contexts, these errors tend to undermine credibility, reduce trust, and lead to misinterpretation. However, in informal or personal interactions, such mistakes are often overlooked or even embraced as part of a more relaxed and creative style of digital expression.

A key insight from the study is the shifting perception of grammar as not just a set of rigid rules but as a flexible tool shaped by context, audience, and purpose. Social media platforms, with their character limits and real-time dynamics, have encouraged linguistic shortcuts and stylistic liberties that challenge conventional grammar norms. While this evolution reflects a natural adaptation to new communicative environments, it also raises important questions about long-term implications for language proficiency, especially among younger users who may spend more time writing informally online than formally in academic settings. Importantly, the study does not suggest that grammar instruction should be rigidly enforced or that all deviations are detrimental. Rather, it argues for a **balanced approach**—one that respects the creative and adaptive use of language in digital contexts while still emphasizing the value of grammatical competence in maintaining clarity, professionalism, and mutual understanding.

As we move further into a digitally-driven communication era, educators, linguists, and content creators must reconsider how grammar is taught, learned, and applied. This includes promoting **digital literacy skills** that empower users to make informed linguistic

An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal; **Impact Factor:** 8.175 (SJIF) **ISSN:** 2581-8333|**Volume 7, Issue 10(October)2025**

choices based on context, intent, and audience. In conclusion, grammatical errors on social media are more than just technical slip-ups—they reflect broader cultural shifts in how language is used and understood. While these errors may pose challenges for clarity and perception, they also offer opportunities for innovation and personal expression. Future research should continue to examine the interplay between digital communication practices and traditional language standards, ensuring that we equip users not only to express themselves but to be clearly and confidently understood.

References

- Baron, N. S. (2008). Always on: Language in an online and mobile world. Oxford University Press.
- Crystal, D. (2006). Language and the Internet. Cambridge University Press.
- Dresner, E., & Herring, S. C. (2010). Functions of the nonverbal in CMC: Emoticons and illocutionary force. Communication Theory, 20(3), 249-268.
- Eisenstein, J. (2013). What to do about bad language on the internet. Proceedings of NAACL-HLT, 359–369.
- Tagg, C. (2015). Exploring digital communication: Language in action. Routledge.
- Tagliamonte, S. A., & Denis, D. (2008). Linguistic ruin? LOL! Instant messaging and teen language. American Speech, 83(1), 3-34.
- Thurlow, C., & Poff, M. (2013). Text messaging. In The Handbook of Language and Globalization (pp. 233-252). Wiley-Blackwell.