
Online Learning and the Digital Divide: Challenges in Educational Equity

Dr. Sushila Sahu

Department of English, Yashwant Raw Meghwale govt. College Magarlod District
Dhamtari Chhattisgarh

Article Received: 27/09/2024

Article Accepted: 29/10/2024

Published Online: 30/10/2024

DOI:10.47311/IJOES.2024.6.10.144

Abstract

Online learning's quick adoption has both increased educational options and brought attention to long-standing disparities in digital access and participation. In this investigation, the multifaceted nature of the digital divide in education is explored, including differences in digital literacy, physical infrastructure, and supportive learning environments that disproportionately impact vulnerable groups such as students with disabilities, students from rural and indigenous communities, and students from low-income backgrounds. For educational institutions and governments, the ramifications of these disparities are severe as they go beyond short-term educational results to long-term social and economic prospects. Comprehensive strategies involving community participation, pedagogical innovation, and infrastructure development are needed to close the digital gap. Special focus must be paid to developing inclusive digital environments that can meet a range of requirements and situations. The objective of this research is to look at successful interventions that support educational equality in digital contexts, provide frameworks for inclusive learning environments, and pinpoint practical methods for closing digital divides. In order to make sure that digital transformation promotes rather than impedes educational equity, future research directions include critical analysis of emerging technologies, longitudinal studies of cumulative impacts, and participatory methodologies that centre affected communities in developing sustainable solutions.

Keywords: Online learning, digital transformation, platform, technology

Introduction

Online learning became the immediate educational priority due to the global COVID-19 pandemic and other circumstances which propelled worldwide education transformation. Educational platforms established through digital technology enable learners to have extensive choices for mobile learning options with individualized and convenient lessons(Yun, 2023). Students holding internet access now have unlimited theoretical access to high-quality educational resources through which they can collaborate across borders and follow their own learning speed(Wenyan, 2023).

Digital transformation both showed and deepened the present educational inequalities which existed before the modern digital era. The digital divide separates users who maintain digital access from internet connectivity from all users who lack these resources(Afzal et al., 2023). Multiple aspects of the digital divide create barriers to educational success because they include device availability and high-speed connectivity and the skills needed to use digital devices and the conducive learning spaces essential to their success(Afzal et al., 2023). Students from disadvantaged backgrounds combining low-income and rural locations along with marginalized groups continue to face higher probabilities of digital disadvantage which erects fresh impediments to their academic success(Afzal et al., 2023).

Digital inequality causes adverse effects that affect students beyond regular educational achievements. People who lack sufficient digital capabilities and technological access will incur prolonged disadvantage as new digital capabilities gain importance for work opportunities and political participation(Afzal et al., 2023). Schools struggle to address these inequities through unified action between policy building and the establishment of infrastructure as well as pedagogical changes and community support to bring forward digital learning rather than creating barriers to educational equity(Pierce & Cleary, 2024).

The research examines online learning and digital inequality through three main objectives including a deep analysis of multiple education technology disparities and discussions about proven solutions plus processes for making digital education spaces more inclusive(Afzal et al., 2023; Gkrimpizi et al., 2023). This research evaluates model programs and ground-breaking educational methods to present specific guidance for education professionals and policy officials and community leaders who wish to develop digital transformation into an educational equity catalyst rather than an exclusionary system(“OECD Digital Education Outlook 2023,” 2023).

Dimensions of the Digital Divide

Various interconnected aspects of digital separation affect educational environments by creating barriers which prevent students from achieving equal learning opportunities online(Srivastava, 2023). Physical access serves as the most apparent aspect that involves obtaining appropriate technology devices together with robust high-speed Internet connectivity. Students from rural areas together with those from low-income urban neighbourhoods and developing regions do not possess the necessary basic technological infrastructure needed for digital learning activities(Mali et al., 2023). The shortage of hardware frequently interacts with resource constraints in educational software because students need proper learning management systems and educational applications and licensed digital content that works only with particular devices(Vallejo, 2023).

The digital divide encompasses two main discrepancies which extend past accessing digital technology to include deficient digital abilities and proficiency(Kormos & Wisdom, 2023). People at different levels of education demonstrate varying levels of technical abilities which include operating digital systems and problem-solving without professional help and operating software applications(Afzal et al., 2023). The development of digital fluency between students reflects their level of technology immersion during early childhood thus creating a system of advantage between those with home access to technology devices. Students experience varying learning conditions at home depending on whether they have personalized study areas along with parental help or share devices and live-in cramped conditions with other responsibilities during online classes(Student Access to Technology at Home and Learning Hours during COVID-19 in the U.S, 2023).

Challenges in Educational Equity

Educational equity faced two obstacles which grew more severe when schools transitioned to online learning formats(Pierce & Cleary, 2024). Schools serving less fortunate communities face an initial problem because the insufficient funding in their area makes it impossible to adequately equip digital networks or provide every student with their own device. Differential learning experiences occur because money differences lead some pupils to access modern educational technologies and other pupils to face obsolete devices and internet interruptions(Pierce & Cleary, 2024; Santos & Diniz, 2023). Students from low-income backgrounds and racial and ethnic minorities along with those in rural areas must bear the financial responsibility of funding technological resources which generates lasting educational disparities specifically affecting their groups(Pierce & Cleary, 2024).

Diverse educational environments deliver online learning with varied standards of quality thus creating major equity barriers in education(Afzal et al., 2023). Students with insufficient digital access face limited educational opportunities since they must learn from basic digital content instead of receiving the advanced interactive material provided to students who have better available resources(Kormos & Wisdom, 2023). Different groups of students encounter two distinct educational experiences because certain students get tailored instructional support but others must function solely with minimal help on digital platforms(Druga et al., 2022). Educational technology platforms and resources lack universal design principles and learning need accommodation features which creates barriers for students with disabilities together with students who are English language learners(Chalkiadakis et al., 2024).

Assessment and evaluation provide extra equity concerns in online learning environments(Adnan & Naw, 2023). Traditional methods of evaluation usually rely on uniform conditions, controlled environments, and dependable technologies that are not typically available to disadvantaged students.... Technical limitations may result in performance discrepancies that are not reflective of true educational attainment(Bhutoria & Aljabri, 2022; Swiecki et al., 2022). In addition, many remote learning platforms include algorithmic decision-making and automated assessment systems, which may inadvertently reinforce preconceived notions and fail to account for cultural contexts or varying learning styles. Together, these challenges create a complex environment that might exacerbate rather than reduce educational inequities if technological developments are not implemented with careful consideration for equality(Dumont & Ready, 2023).

Impact on Vulnerable Populations

The accessibility needs of students with disabilities are frequently not met by online learning environments, which creates further issues. Since many digital platforms lack compatible assistive equipment, alternative formats for visual materials, or suitable captioning for video content, these learners are effectively barred from full participation(Golden et al., 2023). For students who struggle with executive functioning or focus, the self-directed nature of online learning can present significant challenges if appropriate accommodations and support mechanisms are not in place(Mokoena, 2023). Additionally, the transition to remote learning has disrupted essential developmental interventions for many students with disabilities, such as occupational therapy, speech therapy, or one-on-one educational support. This could result in long-term educational setbacks(Goldhaber et al., 2023).

Geographic isolation and historical underinvestment in infrastructure make rural and indigenous populations particularly vulnerable in the context of digital

education(Afzal et al., 2023). Students in isolated rural locations are forced to drive long distances to obtain internet connections or rely on low-bandwidth solutions that are insufficient for multimedia learning materials since broadband connectivity is still scarce or non-existent in these places(Santos & Diniz, 2023). Online curriculum that do not include culturally appropriate content or instructional strategies that respect traditional knowledge systems provide extra difficulties for indigenous populations. These technology constraints create complex barriers to educational involvement by interacting with other socioeconomic issues, such as greater poverty rates and restricted access to transportation(Montanari & Teixidor-Toneu, 2021).

The transition to online learning causes disproportionate disruptions to the educational experiences of low-income and housing-insecure students(Samarita et al., 2024). These pupils find it difficult to continue participating regularly in online classes in the absence of secure housing, designated learning areas, or reliable access to energy and internet connectivity. These issues are made worse by the financial strains placed on families during recessions, when financing educational technology becomes less important than fulfilling fundamental requirements(Hu, 2023). Furthermore, many low-income kids rely on schools for basic services that go beyond education, such as access to healthcare, social-emotional support, and nutritional assistance—services that are more difficult to provide in virtual forms. Long-term effects of the ensuing educational inequalities include the possibility of increasing performance gaps and restricting future educational and economic possibilities for these vulnerable populations(Samarita et al., 2024).

Addressing the Digital Divide and Promoting Educational Equity

Building comprehensive infrastructure is one of the most important steps in bridging the digital gap in education(Pierce & Cleary, 2024). Building public Wi-Fi networks, expanding internet access in underprivileged neighbourhoods, and creating technology loan programs that provide students without reliable access devices are how government agencies, educational institutions, and business sector partners must collaborate to accomplish this(Manikutty et al., 2022). Many promising concepts have emerged, including mobile hotspot distribution programs, public-private partnerships that subsidise internet connections for low-income households, and community anchor institutions like libraries serving as connectivity hubs(Afzal et al., 2023). To prevent outdated resources from perpetuating digital inequalities, these infrastructure investments need to be backed by long-term funding sources that pay for ongoing maintenance, technical support, and regular technology advancements in addition to the initial acquisition costs(Çetin et al., 2023).

To build genuinely inclusive digital learning environments that meet a range of needs and situations, pedagogical techniques must change. Teachers need thorough

professional development that addresses issues of digital equality(Eden et al., 2024). This includes instruction in flexible assessment techniques that take into consideration different degrees of technology access, culturally sensitive online instruction, and universal design for learning principles(Srivastava, 2023). Instead of being an afterthought, accessibility should be a fundamental design approach for learning materials, encompassing features like low-bandwidth alternatives, offline functioning, and assistive technology compatibility(Ober et al., 2023). Clear regulations that recognise the reality of uneven access to digital resources must also be established by educational institutions. These policies could include flexible deadlines, alternate formats for assignments, and different methods of communication that do not require continual connectivity(Hakobyan & Grigoryan, 2024).

Addressing digital inequality requires a third crucial element: increasing community engagement and capability. Included in this are digital literacy initiatives aimed not just at students but also at families and community people who assist pupils after school(Afzal et al., 2023). Sustainable transformation has been especially successfully achieved through intergenerational strategies that strengthen technology skills while utilising communal knowledge(Ebirim et al., 2024). By supporting peer mentorship programs, offering technical assistance to families, and extending access hours, schools may act as technological resource centres. Furthermore, involving students and the community in technology planning guarantees that programs for digital equity address real needs rather than presumptive ones(Afzal et al., 2023). Institutions may create more robust and responsive systems that offer equal learning opportunities irrespective of socioeconomic conditions by addressing digital equity as a community-wide issue rather than just an educational one(Afzal et al., 2023; Campanozzi et al., 2023).

Future Research Directions

One important area of study is longitudinal studies that look at the long-term effects of digital learning disparities. The majority of what is now known about the educational implications of the digital divide is still based on cross-sectional data or brief observations, which offers little insight into the cumulative effects over the course of students' academic careers. To ascertain how early differences in digital access affect later academic success, skill development, educational perseverance, and ultimate career outcomes, future research should follow cohorts of learners over a number of years(Golden et al., 2023). Mixed-methods techniques that record both qualitative and quantitative data should be used in these kinds of studies, paying special attention to the varying effects on different demographic groups. Researchers should also look into how well different intervention techniques work over time to

see which ones result in long-lasting gains in digital equality as opposed to short-term accommodations(Afzal et al., 2023).

With the rising use of artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and adaptive learning systems in educational settings, it is imperative that the relationship between these new technologies and current equity disparities be thoroughly explored(Ayeni et al., 2024). It is important to do research on whether these cutting-edge technologies improve or worsen current disparities, taking into account variables like algorithmic bias, accessibility obstacles, and inconsistent use across resource situations(Bell et al., 2023). Research should also examine how technology itself may be used to solve issues of equality through developments such as low-bandwidth AI teaching, adaptable apps that can be used offline, or affordable gear made especially for underprivileged environments(Bulathwela et al., 2021). To guarantee that technology development is in line with educational equality objectives, this research strand necessitates multidisciplinary cooperation involving educational researchers, computer scientists, equity experts, and community stakeholders(Afzal et al., 2023). Digital equity studies should emphasise the use of participatory research approaches that include impacted populations directly(Eden et al., 2024). Conventional research methods frequently treat marginalised populations as subjects rather than collaborators, which may cause them to overlook important community resources and contextual elements that affect the uptake of technology and academic results(Herington et al., 2023). Community-based participatory research approaches, which include students, families, and community members as co-researchers at every stage of the process—from formulating research questions to gathering data, analysing it, and applying the results—should be incorporated into future studies(Gillett-Swan et al., 2023). While creating more adaptable and culturally sustainable solutions to the problems of digital fairness, this strategy would give priority to local knowledge and cultural contexts(Sarma & Pai, 2023). Such studies should also look at how community technological resilience grows in response to structural obstacles, recording innovative adaptations and community-driven fixes that might influence more general policy and practice(Rasmussen, 2023).

Conclusion

Educational equity faces significant problems as well as previously unheard-of potential as a result of the digital revolution. This investigation has shown that the digital divide affects students with disabilities, rural and indigenous communities, and economically disadvantaged groups disproportionately. It can take many forms, ranging from physical access and infrastructure to digital literacy abilities and supportive learning environments. Community involvement, educational innovation,

and infrastructural development must all be integrated to address these disparities. Sustained investment in technology, inclusive design principles, adaptable teaching strategies, and all-encompassing support networks that take into account a range of needs and situations are all necessary for the future. Future studies must look at long-term effects, assess new technologies using an equitable lens, and involve impacted groups' voices in the development of solutions as we continue to navigate this changing environment. We may endeavour to make sure that technology innovation plays a role in promoting educational inclusion rather than perpetuating current social and economic inequalities by approaching digital education with an unrelenting commitment to equality.

References

- Adnan, M. A. A., & Naw, N. S. M. (2023). EFFECTIVENESS ONLINE LERNING AMONG STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES. In *International Journal of Modern Education* (Vol. 5, Issue 19, p. 29). <https://doi.org/10.35631/ijmoe.519003>
- Afzal, A., Khan, S., Daud, S., Ahmad, Z., & Butt, A. (2023). Addressing the Digital Divide: Access and Use of Technology in Education. In *journal of social sciences review* (Vol. 3, Issue 2, p. 883). <https://doi.org/10.54183/jssr.v3i2.326>
- Ayeni, O. O., Hamad, N. M. A., Chisom, O. N., Osawaru, B., & Adewusi, O. E. (2024). AI in education: A review of personalized learning and educational technology [Review of AI in education: A review of personalized learning and educational technology]. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 18(2), 261. <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.18.2.0062>
- Bell, A., Bynum, L., Drushchak, N., Zakharchenko, T., Rosenblatt, L., & Stoyanovich, J. (2023). The Possibility of Fairness: Revisiting the Impossibility Theorem in Practice. In *2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency* (p. 400). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3593013.3594007>
- Bhutoria, A., & Aljabri, N. (2022). Managerial practices and school efficiency: a data envelopment analysis across OECD and MENA countries using TIMSS 2019 data. In *Large-scale assessments in education* (Vol. 10, Issue 1). Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-022-00147-3>
- Bulathwela, S., Pérez-Ortiz, M., Holloway, C., & Shawe-Taylor, J. (2021). Could AI Democratise Education? Socio-Technical Imaginaries of an EdTech Revolution. In *arXiv* (Cornell University). Cornell University. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112>.

- Campanozzi, L. L., Gibelli, F., Bailo, P., Nittari, G., Sirignano, A., & Ricci, G. (2023). The role of digital literacy in achieving health equity in the third millennium society: A literature review [Review of The role of digital literacy in achieving health equity in the third millennium society: A literature review]. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11. *Frontiers Media*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1109323>
- Çetin, Ö., Çakıroğlu, M., Bayılmış, C., & Ekiz, H. (2023). The Importance of Education for Technological Development and the Role of Internet-Based Learning in Education. In *arXiv (Cornell University)*. Cornell University. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2306.12082>
- Chalkiadakis, A., Seremetaki, A., Kanellou, A., Kallishi, M., Morfopoulou, A., Moraitaki, M., & Mastrokoulou, S. (2024). Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Virtual Reality on Educational Inclusion: A Systematic Review of Technologies Supporting Students with Disabilities [Review of Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Virtual Reality on Educational Inclusion: A Systematic Review of Technologies Supporting Students with Disabilities]. *Education Sciences*, 14(11), 1223. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14111223>
- Druga, S., Otero, N., & Ko, A. J. (2022). The Landscape of Teaching Resources for AI Education. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3502718.3524782>
- Dumont, H., & Ready, D. D. (2023). On the promise of personalized learning for educational equity [Review of On the promise of personalized learning for educational equity]. *Npj Science of Learning*, 8(1). *Nature Portfolio*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-023-00174-x>
- Ebirim, G. U., Ndubuisi, N. L., Unigwe, I. F., Asuzu, O. F., Adelekan, O. A., & Awonuga, K. F. (2024). Financial literacy and community empowerment: A review of volunteer accounting initiatives in low-income areas [Review of Financial literacy and community empowerment: A review of volunteer accounting initiatives in low-income areas]. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(1), 975. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.11.1.0135>
- Eden, C. A., Chisom, O. N., & Adeniyi, I. S. (2024). Harnessing technology integration in education: Strategies for enhancing learning outcomes and equity. In *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences* (Vol. 11, Issue 2, p. 1). <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjaets.2024.11.2.0071>
- Gillett-Swan, J., Winter, A., & Radovic, A. (2023). Engaging With Children and Young

People About Their School Experience: Co-designing a 'Talking Tree' Research Tool to Investigate Why School Works for Some Students, but Not Others. In *Journal of Participatory Research Methods* (Vol. 4, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.35844/001c.75239>

Gkrimpizi, T., Peristeras, V., & Magnisalis, I. (2023). Classification of Barriers to Digital Transformation in Higher Education Institutions: Systematic Literature Review. In *Education Sciences* (Vol. 13, Issue 7, p. 746). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070746>

Golden, A. R., Srisarajivakul, E., Hasselle, A. J., Pfund, R. A., & Knox, J. (2023). What was a gap is now a chasm: Remote schooling, the digital divide, and educational inequities resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic [Review of What was a gap is now a chasm: Remote schooling, the digital divide, and educational inequities resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic]. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 52, 101632. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2023.101632>

Goldhaber, D., Kane, T. J., McEachin, A., Morton, E. A., Patterson, T., & Staiger, D. O. (2023). The Educational Consequences of Remote and Hybrid Instruction during the Pandemic. In *American Economic Review Insights* (Vol. 5, Issue 3, p. 377). American Economic Association. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aeri.20220180>

Hakobyan, L. S., & Grigoryan, N. (2024). INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS FOR LEARNERS WITH VISUAL IMPAIRMENTS. In *Armenian Journal of Special Education* (Vol. 8, Issue 1, p. 31). <https://doi.org/10.24234/se.v8i1.15>

Herington, J., Connelly, K., & Illes, J. (2023). Ethical Imperatives for Working With Diverse Populations in Digital Research. In *Journal of Medical Internet Research* (Vol. 25). JMIR Publications. <https://doi.org/10.2196/47884>

Hu, B. (2023). Analyzing the Education Gap Between Rural and Urban Environments in China. In *Lecture notes in education psychology and public media* (Vol. 7, Issue 1, p. 159). <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/7/20220770>

Kormos, E., & Wisdom, K. (2023). Digital divide and teaching modality: It's role in technology and instructional strategies. In *Education and Information Technologies* (Vol. 28, Issue 8, p. 9985). Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11488-5>

Mali, Y. C. G., Kurniawan, D., Januardi, J. I., Swara, S. J., Lokollo, N. C. E., Picauly, I.

-
- A., Paramitha, N. G., Tanore, J. A., Dewani, M. S., & Pakiding, R. W. (2023). ISSUES AND CHALLENGES OF TECHNOLOGY USE IN INDONESIAN SCHOOLS: IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHING AND LEARNING. In *IJiet (International Journal of Indonesian Education and Teaching)* (Vol. 7, Issue 2, p. 221). Sanata Dharma University. <https://doi.org/10.24071/ijiet.v7i2.6310>
- Manikutty, G., Sasidharan, S., & Rao, B. K. (2022). Driving innovation through project based learning: A pre-university STEAM for Social Good initiative. In *arXiv (Cornell University)*. Cornell University. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2211.01998>
- Mokoena, M. (2023). Promoting Self-Directed Learning for English FAL Learners in a Rural Context: An Asset-Based Approach. In *E-Journal of Humanities Arts and Social Sciences* (p. 774). <https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.2023467>
- Montanari, B., & Teixidor-Toneu, I. (2021). Mountain isolation and the retention of traditional knowledge in the High Atlas of Morocco. In *The Journal of North African Studies* (Vol. 27, Issue 5, p. 977). Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13629387.2021.1901690>
- Ober, T. M., Lehman, B., Gooch, R., Oluwalana, O., Solyst, J., Phelps, G., & Hamilton, L. S. (2023). Culturally Responsive Personalized Learning: Recommendations for a Working Definition and Framework. In *ETS research report series* (Vol. 2023, Issue 1, p. 1). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ets2.12372>
- OECD Digital Education Outlook 2023. (2023). In *Digital education outlook*. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. <https://doi.org/10.1787/c74f03de-en>
- Pierce, G. L., & Cleary, P. F. (2024). The persistent educational digital divide and its impact on societal inequality. In *PLoS ONE* (Vol. 19, Issue 4). Public Library of Science. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0286795>
- Rasmussen, J. B. (2023). Advancing Environmental Justice through the Integration of Traditional Ecological Knowledge into Environmental Policy. In *Challenges* (Vol. 14, Issue 1, p. 6). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/challe14010006>
- Samarita, W. A., Pachejo, S. J., & Aragon, E. E. (2024). Student services in higher education: impact on academic success amid and after the pandemic. In *Diversitas Journal* (Vol. 9, Issue 2). Universidade Estadual de Alagoas. <https://doi.org/10.48017/dj.v9i2.2961>
- Santos, E. M. dos, & Diniz, A. S. (2023). Rural Education and the Challenges of Using

- Technological Resources in Rural High Schools in the State of Ceará. In Journal of sustainable development (Vol. 16, Issue 4, p. 116). Canadian Center of Science and Education. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jsd.v16n4p116>
- Sarma, S. K., & Pai, T. A. (2023). Data Collection in Organizational Research. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0973005215569384>
- Srivastava, M. (2023). The Evolution of Education: Navigating 21st-Century Challenges. In International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research (Vol. 5, Issue 5). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i05.6314>
- Student access to technology at home and learning hours during COVID-19 in the U.S. (2023). <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10176282/>
- Swiecki, Z., Khosravi, H., Chen, G., Martínez-Maldonado, R., Lodge, J. M., Milligan, S., Selwyn, N., & Găsević, D. (2022). Assessment in the age of artificial intelligence. In Computers and Education Artificial Intelligence (Vol. 3, p. 100075). Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100075>
- Vallejo, C. A. C. (2023). Breve historia del desarrollo del software educativo para las matemáticas. In Pi-InnovaMath (Issue 6). National University of Distance Education. <https://doi.org/10.5944/pim.6.2023.38272>
- Wenyan, Y. (2023). Research on the Application of Mobile Internet Education Ecosystem in Teaching. In Frontiers in Educational Research (Vol. 6, Issue 19). <https://doi.org/10.25236/fer.2023.061923>
- Yun, W. S. (2023). Digitalization challenges in education during COVID-19: A systematic review [Review of Digitalization challenges in education during COVID-19: A systematic review]. Cogent Education, 10(1). Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2023.2198981>