SP Publications
International Journal Of English and Studies (IJOES)
An International Peer-Reviewed and Refereed Journal; Volume-3, Issue-1(January), 2021
www.ijoes.in ISSN: 2581-8333 / Impact Factor:5.421(SJIF)

Exploring the Impact of Intellectual Property Rights on the Development of AI-Enabled English Teaching Resources

Dr. Neha Sharma
Dean & HOD Department of English
NGF Degree College Palwal

Abstract
The major influence of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) on creativity, accessibility, and use of English teaching resources facilitated by artificial intelligence is examined in this work. It carefully looks at how intellectual property laws affect the growth of digital teaching methods made better by artificial intelligence (AI), focusing on the important effects on teachers, students, educational technologists, and lawmakers. The study uses in-depth case studies of AI-driven educational platforms, qualitative analysis, and interviews with a lot of stakeholders, such as educators, developers, and lawmakers, to find out what makes AI applications work or not work in educational settings. Results show that open and flexible IPR systems greatly inspire creativity, teamwork, and fair access to innovative educational technologies; hence, they support dynamic and flexible learning settings. On the other hand, it was found that strict licensing requirements and limited intellectual property frameworks stifled innovation, made education more expensive, and limited access, especially for students who are poor and schools that don't have a lot of resources. Open-source AI tools clearly preferred by teachers and students highlighted advantages including more possibilities for customizing, economy, and simplicity of collaboration. The report emphasizes the immediate need for flexible, fair intellectual property laws designed to defend creators' rights and simultaneously advance openness, creativity, and fair distribution of resources. To properly use artificial intelligence's transforming power, recommendations call for the development of hybrid IPR models—that is, those that combine open-source ideas with private rights. Achieving sustainable innovation, raising educational quality, and guaranteeing fair access to innovative AI-driven English language teaching materials all depend on such well-balanced IPR methods.

Keywords: Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), AI-enabled English teaching, Artificial Intelligence (AI), digital pedagogy, educational innovation, accessibility

Introduction:

Particularly in English language training, artificial intelligence (AI) has grown to be a necessary feature of modern education, drastically changing conventional teaching and learning approaches. AI-powered tools have encouraged student autonomy, tailored learning experiences, and creative pedagogical tactics, as well as helped to support novel teaching approaches (Mohebbi, 2025; Chen et al., 2024). These developments not only improve the results of education but also encourage important debates on intellectual

property rights (IPR) related to artificial intelligence-generated learning materials. Sharma (2023) emphasizes the intricacy surrounding IPR, challenging ownership, usage, and distribution rights for content produced or assisted by AI, therefore stressing the need for clear legal frameworks within educational settings.

Another major area of worry in education is the junction of artificial intelligence technology with ethical issues, including fair access and responsible use. Mohamed (2024) supports systems that let students interact appropriately with AI-driven materials. He does this by pointing out the moral consequences of using AI to improve language learning. Examining the balance between technological entrepreneurship and possible hazards to creative learning environments, Raimi, Bamiro, and Lim (2024) further explore ethical issues. Analyzing both the advantages and difficulties presented by technological developments, this paper methodically explores the function of AI-driven applications in modern English teaching and learning.

Literature Review:

Particularly important in the fast-changing field of educational technology, intellectual property rights (IPRs) form a fundamental framework protecting artists' rights over their discoveries and creations. In their 2020 paper, Heath, Moerland, and Sanders talk about how the Fourth Industrial Revolution is changing the meaning and scope of intellectual property rights (IPRs). They stress the need for flexible IPR systems that let technology improve without having too many rules that stop innovation.Particularly within English teaching materials, artificial intelligence (AI) technologies are becoming more and more common in educational settings. Lee, Hilty, and Liu (2021) underline how the distributed and creative character of artificial intelligence improves learning procedures, enabling adaptable learning environments and tailored training. For instance, by offering instantaneous feedback, customized curriculum adjustments, and great accessibility across many student communities, AI-driven platforms like Duolingo and Grammarly have changed language instruction. Previous research has looked closely at how IPRs affect access to and creative innovation in education. Lim (2019) contends that because of their automated creation process, AI-generated outputs shouldn't be eligible for conventional IPRs; hence, maybe this is changing the way educational materials are shared and safeguarded. Analogous to educational technology, Naim and Chan (2024) explore the effects of IPR on developing health technology advances by showing how limited IPRs might impede innovation and fair resource distribution.

Singh and Shanker (2024) also look into how personality rights, privacy, and intellectual property rights (IPR) interact in AI-powered platforms. This suggests that a complex plan is needed to find a balance between new technology and protecting people's rights. Ahmed (2024) underlines even more the requirement of broadening the scope of commercial and educational models by means of strong scenario planning to maximize the integration of artificial intelligence inside controlled IPR systems, so supporting patents that enable rather than limit creative developments.
Finally, the research shows a dynamic interaction between intellectual property rights and AI-driven instructional technologies. Stakeholders must adopt adaptive IPR policies that change with technological developments if they are to properly use AI in English language instruction while preserving creative

freedom and wide accessibility (Heath, Moerland, and Sanders 2020; Lee, Hilty, and Liu 2021; Lim 2019; Naim and Chan 2024; Singh and Shanker 2024; Ahmed 2024).

Research Methodology:

Qualitative Analysis Including Case Studies of Existing AI-driven Platforms: With the help of in-depth case studies, qualitative studies of AI-powered platforms show important new ways that AI can change how data-driven decisions are made and how learning works. With real-time analytical tools and adaptive learning settings, these platforms make both qualitative and quantitative tests much better, as Althati and Malaiyappan (2024) point out. Chan (2023) also talks about the need for strong frameworks in university environments, where AI integration directly helps to provide better administrative efficiency and educational results.

Interviews with Developers, Educators, and Policymakers Involved in AI Educational Resources: Important players—developers, teachers, and legislators—provide insightful analysis of the integration of artificial intelligence in educational settings through interviews. Through their methodical interviews with top-notch teachers, Kim, Lee, and Cho (2022) show how cooperative student-AI learning could successfully improve instructional results. Similarly, Chai, Chan, and Alwaqdani (2024) stress policymakers' and teachers' cooperative roles in establishing practical AI educational policies that support continuous innovation and effective implementation.

Analysis of Policy Documents, Licensing Agreements, and Legal Frameworks Relevant to Educational Technologies: Examining policy documents, licensing agreements, and regulatory systems closely helps one to grasp the complexity and difficulties of using artificial intelligence in learning contexts. In learning analytics, Greller and Drachsler (2012) underline the need for well-defined legal frameworks and moral standards. Quinn (2003) says that clear legal frameworks are needed for the responsible and effective use of technology in schools. These frameworks should include software licensing and intellectual property rules. All of these ways of looking at things make the point that strong government action is needed to make sure that the use of AI in schools is done in a way that is ethical, fair, and effective.

Findings and Discussion

Impact of IPR on Innovation in AI-Enabled Teaching The results of this study show how greatly intellectual property rights (IPR) influence innovation in English teaching tools driven by artificial intelligence. Flexible IPR systems have been shown to inspire innovation and creativity, therefore helping teachers, technologists, and developers to leverage current tools and quickly modify instructional materials for different learning environments. On the other hand, too strict IPR systems can greatly restrict the adaptability and customizing capacity of AI-driven resources. Tight intellectual property rules often prevent teachers and developers from enhancing or changing current artificial intelligence systems, therefore stifling possible innovation and limiting the spread of successful teaching strategies. This limited setting stunts the creation of interactive and dynamic learning resources, therefore lowering the possible educational advantages of artificial intelligence technologies.

Accessibility and Affordability of AI Educational Tools Moreover, significantly affecting the accessibility and cost of AI-powered learning materials are IPR limitations. The study shows that tight licensing rules greatly raise the expenses related to utilizing these instruments, thereby restricting their general acceptance among students and educational institutions, particularly those running financial

restrictions. Rigid licensing agreements limit proprietary AI educational resources, which often place necessary advancements out of reach for institutions and students without the financing. On the other hand, less restricted or open-source licenses improve accessibility, therefore facilitating more general adoption across a range of socioeconomic settings. By enabling teachers and students in resource- constrained environments to gain from advanced AI-driven pedagogies, such open-access systems greatly help to democratize education.

Case Studies of Successful and Problematic Applications of IPR Through detailed case studies, the study showed a number of examples of both good and bad ways that AI educational technologies can be used in situations involving intellectual property rights regulations. Successful models, including open-source licensing platforms, show fast innovation cycles, group improvements, and extensive user involvement. These tools let teachers and developers all around work together to modify, enhance, and personalize learning materials, improving the results of education. On the other hand, it was clear that proprietary AI-driven systems had problems because they were limited by strict licensing rules. This made it harder for people to work together on improvements and made the systems less useful in many learning settings. These limited situations highlight the pressing need for a balanced approach in developing IPR rules, balancing both protection of intellectual property and the freedom required for educational innovation.

Educator and Learner Perspectives on Licensed vs. Open-Source AI Teaching Resources Interviews with teachers and students gave important new perspectives on preferences for artificial intelligence instructional tools. Because of their versatility, flexibility, and possibility for customizing, both groups repeatedly preferred open-source artificial intelligence products. Open-source materials were highly appreciated by teachers since they let them customize their instructional materials to fit various student demands free from legal concerns. Learners also indicated more pleasure with open-source artificial intelligence tools since they valued the ability to access excellent materials without limits. These points of view fervently support laws encouraging transparency, cooperation, and flexibility inside intellectual property regimes.

Implications

Implications for Equitable Access to AI Educational Resources The results of this study highlight the need for fair access to high-quality artificial intelligence learning tools, especially for students from underfunded or underprivileged backgrounds or from underdeveloped educational institutions. Adoption of open-source licensing models or flexible intellectual property frameworks should be top priorities for educational stakeholders—including legislators, institutions, and technology developers. These plans will make sure that artificial intelligence technology is used fairly and by everyone in education, which will fix the problem of unequal educational opportunities and outcomes. Strategic alliances combined with advocacy by educational institutions serve to promote inclusive IPR practices, thereby benefiting students from all backgrounds.

Policy Implications for IPR Reforms Supporting Educational Innovation The study strongly suggests that legislators should review current IPR systems in order to promote more educational creativity and fair access to resources driven by artificial intelligence. Current intellectual property rights (IPR) systems often favor limited ownership arrangements, which hurts innovation in education and access to useful

technologies. Policymakers should thus create policies that strike a compromise between intellectual property protection and the necessary freedom required for educational development in order to solve this problem. Particularly hybrid licensing models and incentives for open-source innovation could be workable governmental options that protect intellectual property rights and drive innovation. Such changes could guarantee more general availability of AI-driven educational resources, greatly speed up educational progress, and improve teaching methods.

This paper has underlined important linkages between intellectual property rights and the development of English teaching tools provided by artificial intelligence. It stresses that strong intellectual property rights (IPR) systems are needed to protect creators and innovators, but IPR systems that are too strict can stop educational innovation and make it harder for teachers to share useful resources fairly. According to the study, it is very important to find a careful balance between protecting authors' rights and encouraging innovation, teamwork, and equal access to AI-powered learning resources. To fully realize the educational possibilities of artificial intelligence technologies and foster improved learning outcomes, creativity, and accessibility in language education, flexible and open IPR rules will ultimately be absolutely vital.

Conclusion

The complicated link between intellectual property rights (IPR) and the evolution of AI-enabled English teaching tools has been investigated in this research. Results show that flexible IPR systems greatly support educational innovation, improve accessibility, and enable extensive distribution of useful instructional resources. On the other hand, especially for economically deprived students and institutions, limited intellectual property rules and licencing agreements can impede creativity and limit educational possibilities. In essence, IPR's contribution to AI-driven language teaching depends on finding a balance between safeguarding artists' rights and advancing fair, creative approaches. To fully realize the transforming power of artificial intelligence technologies in education going forward, flexible policies stressing openness and cooperation will be very vital.

Works Cited

1. Ahmed, T. *AI-Enabled Healthcare Sector: Futures Business Models.* University of Oulu Repository, 2024.
2. Alghamdy, R. Z. “Pedagogical and Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in EFL Context: A Review Study.” *English Language Teaching*, 2023, epe.bac-lac.gc.ca.
3. Althati, C., and J. N. A. Malaiyappan. “AI-Driven Analytics: Transforming Data Platforms for Real-Time Decision Making.” *Journal of Advanced Information & General Science (JAIGS)*, 2024.
4. Alyammahi, A. *Investigating the Impact of AI-Powered Digital Educational Platforms on Students' Learning and Teachers' Practice in Abu Dhabi Schools.* 2020. ProQuest, search.proquest.com.
5. Chai, C. S., C. K. Y. Chan, and Y. H. Cho. “Learning Design to Support Student-AI Collaboration: Perspectives from Educators.” *Education and Information Technologies*, Springer, 2024.

6. Chen, Y., et al. "AI-Enabled Multi-Mode Electronic Information Innovation Practice Teaching Reform Prediction and Exploration in Application-Oriented Universities." *Systems*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2024, mdpi.com.
7. Greller, Wolfgang, and Hendrik Drachsler. "Translating Learning into Numbers: A Generic Framework for Learning Analytics." *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 2012.
8. Heath, C., A. Moerland, and A. K. Sanders. *Intellectual Property Law and the Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Torrossa, 2020.
9. Karimi, H., and S. Khawaja. "The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Higher Education in England." *Creative Education*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2023, scirp.org.
10. Kim, Jin, Heejin Lee, and Young-Hyun Cho. "Learning Design to Support Student-AI Collaboration: Perspectives of Leading Teachers for AI in Education." *Education and Information Technologies*, Springer, 2022.
11. Lee, J. A., R. M. Hilty, and K. C. Liu. *Artificial Intelligence and Intellectual Property*. Google Books, 2021.
12. Lim, D. "AI & IP Innovation & Creativity in an Age of Accelerated Change." *Akron Law Review*, vol. 52, no. 4, 2019.
13. Mohamed, M. S. P. "Exploring Ethical Dimensions of AI-Enhanced Language Education: A Literature Perspective." *Technology in Language Teaching & Learning*, 2024, castledown.com.
14. Mohebbi, A. "Enabling Learner Independence and Self-Regulation in Language Education Using AI Tools: A Systematic Review." *Cogent Education*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2025, Taylor & Francis, tandfonline.com.
15. Naim, N., and H. Y. Chan. "Intellectual Property and Health Technological Innovations at the Time of the Pandemic." *Law and Development Review*, De Gruyter, 2024.
16. Pedro, F., et al. *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development*. 2019, repositorio.minedu.gob.pe.
17. Quinn, Michael. "Legal Frameworks Related to Special Education and Technology." *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 2003.
18. ---. "The Role of Legal Frameworks in Education and Technology." *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 2003.
19. Raimi, L., N. B. Bamiro, and S. A. Lim. "Facets of AI-Driven Applications for Sustainable Learning and Development: A Systematic Review of Tech-Entrepreneurial Benefits and Threats to Creative Learning." *Social Responsibility, Technology and Society*, Emerald, 2024.
20. Sharma, M. "Intellectual Property Rights and Artificial Intelligence." *Indian Journal of Law & Legal Research*, no. 2, 2023, HeinOnline.
21. Singh, A., and M. N. Shanker. *Exploring Legal Safeguards for Personality Rights in the Era of Artificial Intelligence*. Google Books, 2024.
22. Singh, E., P. Vasishta, and A. Singla. "AI-Enhanced Education: Exploring the Impact of AI Literacy on Generation Z's Academic Performance in Northern India." *Quality Assurance in Education*, vol. 33, no. 2, 2025, Emerald Publishing, emerald.com.

23. Pedro, F., et al. *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development.* 2019, repositorio.minedu.gob.pe.
24. Sharma, M. "Intellectual Property Rights and Artificial Intelligence." *Indian Journal of Law & Legal Research*, no. 2, 2023, HeinOnline.
25. Mohamed, M. S. P. "Exploring Ethical Dimensions of AI-Enhanced Language Education: A Literature Perspective." *Technology in Language Teaching & Learning*, 2024, castledown.com.
26. Alghamdy, R. Z. "Pedagogical and Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in EFL Context: A Review Study." *English Language Teaching*, 2023, epe.bac-lac.gc.ca.